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## 0. Introduction

Given complex numbers $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}$ and $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$, we consider the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+p+1}=\frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{n+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{p} x_{n+p}}{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{n+1}+\cdots+\beta_{p} x_{n+p}} . \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a $p$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)$ generates an infinite sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$. We consider two equivalent reformulations in terms of rational mappings: we may consider the mapping $f: \mathbf{C}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{p}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}, \frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{p} x_{p}}{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{p} x_{p}}\right) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or we may use the imbedding $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right) \mapsto\left[1: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{p}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{p}$ into projective space and consider the induced map $f: \mathbf{P}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{p}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha, \beta}\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: \cdots: x_{p}\right]=\left[x_{0} \beta \cdot x: x_{2} \beta \cdot x: \cdots: x_{p} \beta \cdot x: x_{0} \alpha \cdot x\right] \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \cdot x=\alpha_{0} x_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{p} x_{p}$.
Here we will study the degree growth of the iterates $f^{k}=f \circ \cdots \circ f$ of $f$. In particular, we are interested in the quantity

$$
\delta(\alpha, \beta):=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{degree}\left(f_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}\right)\right)^{1 / k}
$$

A natural question is: For what values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can (0.1) generate a periodic recurrence? In other words, when does (0.1) generate a periodic sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ for all choices of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$ ? This is equivalent to asking when there is an $N$ such that $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{N}$ is the identity map. Periodicities in recurrences of the form ( 0.1 ) have been studied in [CLa; GrL; KoL; KGo; Ly]. The question of determining the parameter values $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for which $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic has been known for some time and is posed explicitly in [GKP] and [GrL, p. 161]. Recent progress in this direction has been obtained in [CLa]. The connection with our work here is that, if $\delta(\alpha, \beta)>$ 1 , then the degrees of the iterates of $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ grow exponentially and $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is far from periodic.

In the case $p=1, f$ is a linear (fractional) map of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. The question of periodicity for $f$ is equivalent to determining when a $2 \times 2$ matrix is a root of the identity.

[^0]In this paper we address these questions in the case $p=2$. In fact, our principal efforts will be devoted to determining $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ for all of the mappings in the family just described. In order to remove trivial cases, we will assume throughout this paper that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \text { is not a multiple of }\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \\
& \left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \neq(0,0) \text { and }\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)  \tag{0.4}\\
& \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if the first condition in (0.4) is not satisfied, then the right-hand side of (0.1) is constant. If the left-hand part of the second condition (0.4) is not satisfied, then $f$ does not depend on $x_{1}$ and thus has rank 1 , so it cannot be periodic. If the right-hand part of the second condition (0.4) is not satisfied, then $f^{2}$ is essentially the 1 -dimensional mapping $\zeta \mapsto \frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \zeta}{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \zeta}$. If the third condition in (0.4) is not satisfied, then $f$ is linear. In this case, the periodicity of $f$ is a question of linear algebra.

Since we consider all parameters satisfying (0.4), we must treat a number of separate cases. Let $V_{*}$ be the set of $(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \neq 0$ and $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{3} \Sigma_{\beta}=p$, and let $V_{n}$ denote the variety of parameters $(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \beta_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}(q)=p \\
\text { where } & p=\left[\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}:-\beta_{0} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{0} \beta_{2}: \alpha_{1} \beta_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1}\right]  \tag{0.5}\\
\text { and } \quad q=\left[\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}\right): \beta_{1}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1}\right): \alpha_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

The following two numbers are of special importance here:
$\phi(\approx 1.61803$, the golden mean $)$ is the largest root of $x^{2}-x-1 ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\star}(\approx 1.32472) \text { is the largest root of } x^{3}-x-1 \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that $(\alpha, \beta) \notin \bigcup_{n \geq 0} V_{n}$. Then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is not birationally conjugate to an automorphism. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in \bar{V}_{*}$, then the degree of $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$ grows linearly in $n$. Otherwise, $\phi \geq \delta(\alpha, \beta) \geq \delta_{\star}>1$. For generic $(\alpha, \beta)$, the dynamic degree is $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=\phi$.

In particular, we see that $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ has exponential degree growth in almost all of these cases. The remaining possibilities are as follows.

Theorem 2. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$, then there is a complex manifold $X=X_{\alpha, \beta}$ obtained by blowing up $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at finitely many points, and $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ induces a biholomorphic map $f_{\alpha, \beta}: X \rightarrow X$. Furthermore, the following statements hold.

- If $n=0$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 6 .
- If $n=1$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 5 .
- If $n=2$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 8 .
- If $n=3$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 12 .
- If $n=4$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 18 .
- If $n=5$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is periodic of period 30 .
- If $n=6$, then the degree of $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$ is asymptotically quadratic in $n$.
- If $n \geq 7$, then $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ has exponential degree growth rate $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=\delta_{n}>1$, which is given by the largest root of the polynomial $x^{n+1}\left(x^{3}-x-1\right)+x^{3}+x^{2}-1$. Also, $\delta_{n}$ increases to $\delta_{\star}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The family of maps

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{a+y}{x}\right)
$$

has been studied by several authors (see [BGM2; CLa; KoL; KLR; Ly]). Within this family, the case $a=0$ corresponds to $V_{0}, a=1$ corresponds to $V_{1}$, and all the rest belong to the case $V_{6}$ (see Section 6).

In the cases $n \geq 7$, the entropy of $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is equal to $\log \delta_{n}$ by Cantat [Ca]. The number $\delta_{\star}$ is known (see [BDGPS, Chap. 7]) to be the infimum of all Pisot numbers. By Diller and Favre [DFa], if $g$ is a birational surface map that is not birationally conjugate to a holomorphic automorphism, then $\delta(g)$ is a Pisot number. So the maps $f$ in the cases $n \geq 7$ have smaller degree growth than any such $g$. Note that projective surfaces with automorphisms of positive entropy are relatively rare: Cantat [Ca] showed that, except for nonminimal rational surfaces (like $X$ in Theorem 2), the only possibilities are complex tori, $K 3$ surfaces, or Enriques surfaces.


Figure 0.1 A map with (maximal) degree growth $\phi$

Determining the dynamical degree for this family of mappings may be seen as a first step toward the dynamical study of these maps. Figure 0.1 portrays stable and unstable manifolds of a mapping of maximal degree growth within the family $f_{\alpha, \beta}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we give the general properties of the family $f_{\alpha, \beta}$. In Section 2 we show that $\delta\left(f_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\phi$ if $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ has only two exceptional curves. Next we determine $\delta\left(f_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ in the (generic) case where it has three exceptional curves. This determination, however, threatens to involve a large case-by-case analysis; we avoid this by adopting a more general approach.

In Section 3 we show how $\delta\left(f_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ may be derived from the set of numbers in open and closed orbit lists, demonstrating that results of [BK] may be extended from the "elementary" case to the general case. We use this in Section 4 to determine $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ when the critical triangle is nondegenerate. In Section 5 we handle the periodic cases in Theorem 2, and in Section 6 we discuss parameter space and the varieties $V_{n}$ for $0 \leq n \leq 6$. We explain the computer pictures in the Appendix.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Curt McMullen and the referee for helpful comments on this paper as well as Takato Uehara for finding an error in an earlier version of Theorem 1. We would like to draw the reader's attention to McMullen's preprint, "Dynamics of blowups of the projective plane," which is available at 〈www.math.harvard.edu/~ctm〉.

## 1. Setting and Basic Properties

In this section we review some basic properties of the map

$$
f(x)=\left[x_{0} \beta \cdot x: x_{2} \beta \cdot x: x_{0} \alpha \cdot x\right]
$$

which is the map (0.3) in the case $p=2$. (We refer to [BGM2] for a description of $f$ as a real map.) The indeterminacy locus is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} & =\left\{x \in \mathbf{P}^{2}: x_{0}(\beta \cdot x)=x_{2}(\beta \cdot x)=x_{0}(\alpha \cdot x)=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{e_{1}, p_{0}, p_{\gamma}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $e_{1}=[0: 1: 0], p_{0}=\left[0:-\beta_{2}: \beta_{1}\right]$, and $p_{\gamma}=\left[\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\beta_{2} \alpha_{1}\right.$ : $\left.-\beta_{0} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{0} \beta_{2}: \alpha_{1} \beta_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1}\right]$. Thus $f$ is holomophic on $\mathbf{P}^{2}-\mathcal{I}$, and its Jacobian is $2 x_{0}(\beta \cdot x)\left[\beta_{1}(\alpha \cdot x)-\alpha_{1}(\beta \cdot x)\right]$. Set

$$
\gamma=\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}, 0, \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{3}
$$

and observe that the Jacobian vanishes on the curves

$$
\Sigma_{0}=\left\{x_{0}=0\right\}, \quad \Sigma_{\beta}=\{\beta \cdot x=0\}, \quad \Sigma_{\gamma}=\{\gamma \cdot x=0\} .
$$

These curves are exceptional in the sense that they are mapped to points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\Sigma_{0}-\mathcal{I}\right)=e_{1}, \quad f\left(\Sigma_{\beta}-\mathcal{I}\right)=e_{2}:=[0: 0: 1], \quad f\left(\Sigma_{\gamma}-\mathcal{I}\right)=q, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is defined in (0.5). We write the set of exceptional curves as $\mathcal{E}(f)=$ $\left\{\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{\beta}, \Sigma_{\gamma}\right\}$.

Lemma 1.1.

$$
f\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}-\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{\beta}\right) \cap \Sigma_{0}=\emptyset
$$

Moreover, if $\beta_{2} \neq 0$, then

$$
f\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}-\Sigma_{0} \cup\left\{p_{\gamma}\right\}\right) \cap\left\{p_{0}\right\}=\emptyset
$$

Proof. In $\mathbf{P}^{2}-\mathcal{E}(f) \cup \mathcal{I}(f), f$ is holomorphic. Hence for $\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \in$ $\mathbf{P}^{2}-\mathcal{E}(f) \cup \mathcal{I}(f)$ we have $f\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]\right) \notin \Sigma_{0}$, since $x_{0}(\beta \cdot x) \neq 0$. If
$\beta_{1}=0$ or $\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}=0$, then either $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{\beta}$ or $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{0}$. If both $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}$ are nonzero, then $f\left(\Sigma_{\gamma}\right)=q \notin \Sigma_{0}$. In case $\beta_{2} \neq 0$, for [ $x_{0}: x_{1}$ : $\left.x_{2}\right] \in \Sigma_{\beta}$ we have seen that $f\left(\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]\right)=e_{2} \neq p_{0}$, which completes the proof.

The inverse of $f$ is given by the map

$$
f^{-1}(x)=\left[x_{0} B \cdot x: x_{0} A \cdot x-\beta_{2} x_{1} x_{2}: x_{1} B \cdot x\right],
$$

where $A=\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{2},-\beta_{0}\right)$ and $B=\left(-\alpha_{1}, 0, \beta_{1}\right)$. In the special case $\beta_{2}=0$, the form of $f^{-1}$ is similar to that of $f$. The indeterminacy locus $\mathcal{I}\left(f^{-1}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, q\right\}$ consists of the three points that are the $f$-images of the exceptional lines for $f$. The Jacobian of $f^{-1}$ is

$$
-2 x_{0}\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{0} x_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1} x_{0}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} x_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} x_{1}\right) B \cdot x
$$

Let us set $C=\left(\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1}, \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}, 0\right)$, and set $\Sigma_{B}=\{x \cdot B=0\}$ and $\Sigma_{C}=\{x \cdot C=0\}$. In fact, $\mathcal{E}\left(f^{-1}\right)=\left\{\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{B}, \Sigma_{C}\right\}$, and $f^{-1}$ acts as: $\Sigma_{0} \mapsto p_{0}$, $\Sigma_{B} \mapsto e_{1}$, and $\Sigma_{C} \mapsto p_{\gamma}$.

To understand the behavior of $f$ at $\mathcal{I}$, we define the cluster set $\mathrm{Cl}_{f}(a)$ of a point $a \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ by

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{f}(a)=\left\{x \in \mathbf{P}^{2}: x=\lim _{a^{\prime} \rightarrow a} f\left(a^{\prime}\right), a^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}-\mathcal{I}(f)\right\}
$$

In general, a cluster set is connected and compact. In our case, we see that the cluster set is a single point when $a \notin \mathcal{I}$, that is, when $f$ is holomorpic. The cluster sets of the points of indeterminacy are found by applying $f^{-1}$; in particular, $e_{1} \mapsto$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{f}\left(e_{1}\right)=\Sigma_{B}, p_{0} \mapsto \mathrm{Cl}_{f}\left(p_{0}\right)=\Sigma_{0}$, and $p_{\gamma} \mapsto \mathrm{Cl}_{f}\left(p_{\gamma}\right)=\Sigma_{C}$. Thus $f$ acts as in Figure 1.1: the lines on the left-hand triangle are exceptional and are mapped to the vertices of the right-hand triangle, and the vertices of the left-hand triangle are blown up to the sides of the right-hand triangle.


Figure 1.1 Blowing-up/blowing-down behavior of $f$
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the complex manifold obtained by blowing up $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at $e_{1}$. We will discuss the induced birational map $f_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$. Let $E_{1}:=\pi^{-1} e_{1}$ denote the exceptional
blow-up fiber. The projection gives a biholomorphic map $\pi: Y-E_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}-e_{1}$. For a complex curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$, we use the notation $\Gamma \subset Y$ to denote the strict transform of $\Gamma$ in $Y$. Namely, $\Gamma$ denotes the closure of $\pi^{-1}\left(\Gamma-e_{1}\right)$ inside $Y$. Thus $\Gamma$ is a proper subset of $\pi^{-1} \Gamma=\Gamma \cup E_{1}$.

We identify $E_{1}$ with $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ in the following way. For $\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{2}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, we associate the point

$$
\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{2}\right]_{E_{1}}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \pi^{-1}\left[t \xi_{0}: 1: t \xi_{2}\right] \in E_{1}
$$

We may now determine the map $f_{Y}$ on $\Sigma_{0}$. For $x=\left[0: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}[t:$ $\left.x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \in \Sigma_{0}$, we assign $f_{Y} x:=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} f\left[t: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \in Y$. That is, $f\left[t: x_{1}:\right.$ $\left.x_{2}\right]=\left[t \beta \cdot x: x_{2} \beta \cdot x: t \alpha \cdot x\right]$ and so taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Y}\left[0: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]=[\beta \cdot x: \alpha \cdot x]_{E_{1}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we make a similar computation for a point $\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{2}\right]_{E_{1}}$ in the fiber $E_{1}$ over the point of indeterminacy $e_{1}$. We set $x=\left[t \xi_{0}: 1: t \xi_{2}\right]$ so that

$$
f x=\left[t \xi_{0} \beta \cdot x: t \xi_{2} \beta \cdot x: t \xi_{0} \alpha \cdot x\right] .
$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$
f_{Y}\left(\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{2}\right]_{E_{1}}\right)=\left[\xi_{0} \beta_{1}: \xi_{2} \beta_{1}: \xi_{0} \alpha_{1}\right] \in \Sigma_{B}
$$

Thus we have the following lemma.
Lemma 1.2. The map $f_{Y}$ has these properties:
(i) $f_{Y}$ is a local diffeomorphism at points of $\Sigma_{0}$ if and only if $\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \neq 0$;
(ii) $f_{Y}$ is a local diffeomorphism at points of $E_{1}$ if and only if $\beta_{1} \neq 0$.

## 2. Degenerate Critical Triangle

We will refer to the set $\left\{\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{\beta}, \Sigma_{\gamma}\right\}$ of exceptional curves as the critical triangle; we say that the critical triangle is nondegenerate if these three curves are distinct. Since $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$, it follows that $\Sigma_{0} \neq \Sigma_{\beta}$. Thus there are only two possibilities for a degenerate triangle. The first is the case $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{\beta}$, which occurs when $\beta_{1}=0$. The second possibility is $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{0}$, which occurs when $\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}=0$. (And since $\Sigma_{0} \neq \Sigma_{\beta}$, we have $\beta_{1} \neq 0$ in this case.) We will show that $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=$ $\phi$ when the critical triangle is degenerate. This is different from the general case (and easier), and we treat it in this section.

In order to determine the degree growth rate of $f$, we will consider the induced pull-back $f^{*}$ on $H^{1,1}$. We will be working on compact, complex surfaces $X$ for which $H^{1,1}(X)$ is generated by the classes of divisors. If $[D]$ is the divisor of a curve $D \subset X$, then we define $f^{*}[D]$ to be the class of the divisor $f^{-1} D$. We say that $f$ is 1-regular if $\left(f^{n}\right)^{*}=\left(f^{*}\right)^{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Fornaess and Sibony showed in [FSi] that if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every exceptional curve } C \text { and all } n \geq 0, f^{n} C \notin \mathcal{I} \text {, } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is 1-regular. We will use this criterion in the following.

Proposition 2.1. If the critical triangle is degenerate, then the map $f_{Y}: Y \rightarrow$ $Y$ is 1-regular.

Proof. We treat the two possibilities separately. The first case is $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{\beta}$; see Figure 2.1. In this case $f$ has two exceptional lines $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{\beta}$ and two points of indeterminacy $\mathcal{I}=\left\{e_{1}, p_{\gamma}\right\}$. After we blow up $e_{1}$ to obtain $Y$, the line $\Sigma_{0}$ is no longer exceptional. (Our drawing convention in this and subsequent figures is that exceptional curves are thick while points of indeterminacy are circled.) By (1.3), we see that $f_{Y}$ maps $E_{1}$ to $e_{2}=q$, and thus the exceptional set becomes $\mathcal{E}\left(f_{Y}\right)=$ $\left\{E_{1}, \Sigma_{\beta}=\Sigma_{\gamma}\right\}$. Now, in order to check condition (2.1), we need to follow the orbit of $e_{2}$. By (1.3) we see that $e_{2}$ is part of a 2-cycle $\left\{e_{2},\left[\beta_{2}: \alpha_{2}\right]_{E_{1}}\right\}$. On the other hand, the points of indeterminacy for $f_{Y}$ are $p_{\gamma}$ and $[0: 1]_{E_{1}}=E_{1} \cap \Sigma_{0}$. Since $\beta_{1}=0$ in this case, we have $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ and so (2.1) holds.


Figure 2.1 The case $\Sigma_{\beta}=\Sigma_{\gamma}$

The second case is $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{0}$. Again, $\mathcal{I}=\left\{e_{1}, p_{\gamma}\right\}$, but $\mathcal{E}(f)=\left\{\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{\beta}\right\}$ and the arrangement of exceptional curves and points of indeterminacy are as in Figure 2.2. In this case we have $\beta_{1} \neq 0$, so by Lemma 1.2 it follows that $\mathcal{I}\left(f_{Y}\right)=\left\{p_{0}=\right.$ $\left.p_{\gamma}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}\left(f_{Y}\right)=\left\{\Sigma_{\beta}\right\}$. As before, we need to track the orbit of $e_{2}$. However, by Lemma 1.1, we can never have $f^{j} e_{2}=p_{0}$ for $j \geq 1$. Thus (2.1) holds in this case, too, and the proof is complete.


Figure 2.2 The case $\Sigma_{0}=\Sigma_{\gamma}$

Now let us determine $f_{Y}^{*}$. The cohomology group $H^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is 1-dimensional and is generated by the class of a complex line. We denote this generator by $L$. Let $L_{Y}:=\pi^{*} L \in H^{1,1}(Y ; \mathbf{Z})$ be the class induced by the map (1.2). It follows that $\left\{L_{Y}, E_{1}\right\}$ is a basis for $H^{1,1}(Y ; \mathbf{Z})$. Now $\Sigma_{0}=L \in H^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Pulling this back by $\pi$ yields

$$
L_{Y}=\pi^{*} \Sigma_{0}=\Sigma_{0}+E_{1}
$$

Now $f_{Y}^{*}$ acts by taking pre-images:

$$
f_{Y}^{*} E_{1}=\left[f^{-1} E_{1}\right]=\Sigma_{0}=L_{Y}-E_{1},
$$

where the last equality follows from the previous equation.
Now $e_{1}$ is indeterminate, and $f e_{1}=\Sigma_{B}$. Since $\Sigma_{B}$ intersects any line $L$, it follows that $e_{1} \in f^{-1} L$. Thus

$$
\pi^{*}\left[f^{-1} L\right]=\left[f^{-1} L\right]+E_{1} \in H^{1,1}(Y ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

On the other hand, $f^{-1} L=2 L \in H^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\pi^{*}\left[f^{-1} L\right]=\pi^{*} 2 L=2 L_{Y}
$$

Putting these last two equations together gives $f_{Y}^{*} L_{Y}=2 L_{Y}-E_{1}$. Hence

$$
f_{Y}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
2 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is a matrix with spectral radius equal to $\phi$. This yields the following.
Proposition 2.2. If the critical triangle is degenerate, then $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=\phi$.

## 3. Regularization and Degree Growth

In this section we discuss a different but more general family of maps. By $J: \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ we denote the involution

$$
J\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]=\left[x_{0}^{-1}: x_{1}^{-1}: x_{2}^{-1}\right]=\left[x_{1} x_{2}: x_{0} x_{2}: x_{0} x_{1}\right] .
$$

For an invertible linear map $L$ of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ we consider the map $f:=L \circ J$. The exceptional curves are $\mathcal{E}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}\right\}$, where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}:=\left\{x_{j}=0\right\}$ for $j=0,1,2$, and the points of indeterminacy are $\mathcal{I}=\left\{\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j} \cap \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}$ with $\{i, j, k\}=$ $\{0,1,2\}$. We define $\mathbf{a}_{j}:=f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}-\mathcal{I}\right)=L \varepsilon_{j}$ for $j=0,1,2$.

For $p \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ we define the orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ as follows. If $p \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}$, then $\mathcal{O}(p)=$ $\{p\}$. If there exists an $N \geq 1$ such that $f^{j} p \notin \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}$ for $0 \leq j \leq N-1$ and $f^{N} p \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}$, then we set $\mathcal{O}(p)=\left\{p, f p, \ldots, f^{N} p\right\}$. Otherwise $f^{j} p \notin \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{I}$ for all $j \geq 0$ and we set $\mathcal{O}(p)=\left\{p, f p, f^{2} p, \ldots\right\}$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is singular if it is finite; otherwise, it is nonsingular. An orbit $\mathcal{O}(p)$ is elementary if it is nonsingular or if it ends at a point of indeterminacy. In other words, a nonelementary orbit ends in a point of $\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{I}$. We say that $f$ is elementary if all of its singular orbits are elementary.

Write $\mathcal{O}_{i}=\mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}-\mathcal{I}\right)\right)$ for the orbit of an exceptional curve. We set

$$
S=\left\{i \in\{0,1,2\}: \mathcal{O}_{i} \text { is singular }\right\}
$$

and

$$
S_{0}=\left\{i \in\{0,1,2\}: \mathcal{O}_{i} \text { is singular and elementary }\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.1. If $f$ is not 1 -regular, then it has a singular orbit that is elementary. Hence $S_{0} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ is nonsingular for all $i \in S_{0}$. It follows that every orbit $\mathcal{O}_{j}(j \notin$ $\left.S_{0}\right)$ ends at a point in $\Sigma_{i}\left(i \in S_{0}\right)$. Since all $\mathcal{O}_{i}\left(i \in S_{0}\right)$ are nonsingular, it follows that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}\left(j \notin S_{0}\right)$ cannot end at a point of indeterminacy. This means that $f$ is 1-regular.

Henceforth, we will assume that $f$ is not 1-regular. Let $\mathcal{O}_{S_{0}}=\bigcup_{i \in S_{0}} \mathcal{O}_{i}$. We write $X_{0}=\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and let $\pi: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}$ be the complex manifold obtained by blowing up the points of $\mathcal{O}_{S_{0}}$. Let $f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{1}$ denote the induced birational mapping. By Lemma 1.2, we see that the curves $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\left(i \in S_{0}\right)$ are not exceptional for $f_{1}$, and the blowing-up operation constructed no new points of indeterminacy for $f_{1}$. Thus the exceptional curves for $f_{1}$ are $\Sigma_{i}$ for $i \notin S_{0}$. If $S_{0}$ is a proper subset of $S$ then, for $i \in S-S_{0}$, we redefine $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ to be the $f_{1}$-orbit of $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ inside $X_{1}$. Let us define $S_{1}=\left\{i \in S-S_{0}: \mathcal{O}_{i}\right.$ is elementary for $\left.f_{1}\right\}$. We may apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that, if $S-S_{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $f_{1}$ is not regular, then $S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. As before, we may define $\mathcal{O}_{S_{1}}=\bigcup_{i \in S_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{i}$, and we construct the complex manifold $\pi: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ by blowing up all the points of $\mathcal{O}_{S_{1}}$. Doing this, we reach the situation where $f_{k}$ is 1-regular for some $1 \leq k \leq 3$ and, for each $i \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} S_{j}$, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ has the form $\mathcal{O}_{i}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{i}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau(i)}\right\}$ for some $\tau(i) \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Next we work with the map $f_{k}$ and organize the singular orbits $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ into lists. Two orbits $\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{j_{1}}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{j_{2}}\right\}$ are in the same list if either $j_{1}=$ 2 or $j_{2}=1$-that is, if the ending index of one orbit is the same as the beginning index of the other. (This definition is given in more detail in [BK, Sec. 4].) In our case, the possible lists are as follows (modulo permutation of the indices $\{0,1,2\}$ ). If there is only one singular orbit, we have the list $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{i}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{i}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau(i)}\right\}\right\}$. If $\tau(i)=i$, we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is a closed list; otherwise it is an open list. If there are two singular orbits then we can have two closed lists,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}\right\},
$$

or a closed list and an open list,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right\} .
$$

We cannot have two open lists because there are only three orbits $\mathcal{O}_{i}$. We can also have a single list,

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{\tau(1)}\right\}\right\}
$$

which is a closed list if $\tau(1)=0$ and an open list otherwise. If there are three singular orbits then the possibilities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{1}\right. & \left.=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}\right\} \\
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}\right\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2} & =\left\{\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}\right\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{1}\right\}\right\}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{3}=\left\{\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2}\right\}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where all the lists are closed.
For an orbit $\mathcal{O}_{i}$, we let $n_{i}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{i}\right|$ denote its length; and for an orbit list $\mathcal{L}=$ $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{a}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{a+\mu}\right\}$, we denote the set of orbit lengths by $|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{a}, \ldots, n_{a+\mu}\right\}$. We
put $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\left\{\left|\mathcal{L}_{j}\right|: \mathcal{L}_{j}\right.$ is closed $\}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\left\{\left|\mathcal{L}_{j}\right|: \mathcal{L}_{j}\right.$ is open $\}$. The sets $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}$ determine $\delta(f)$, as is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. The orbit structures $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}$ determine $f_{X}^{*}$ up to conjugacy.
Proof. First let us suppose that $f$ is elementary and show how to determine $f_{1}^{*}$ from $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}$. In this case we have $S=S_{0}$. We set $X:=X_{1}$. It follows from (2.1) that $f_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ is 1-regular. For $p \in \mathcal{O}_{S}-\mathcal{I}$ we let $\mathcal{F}_{p}=\pi^{-1} p$ denote the exceptional fiber over $p$. If $\varepsilon_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{S} \cap \mathcal{I}$, then $E_{i}$ will denote the exceptional fiber over $\varepsilon_{i}$. We will feel free to identify curves with the classes they generate in $H^{1,1}(X)$. Let $H \in H^{1,1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ denote the class of a line, and let $H_{X}=\pi^{*} H$ denote the induced class in $H^{1,1}(X)$. For $i \in S$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow f^{n_{i}-1} \mathbf{a}_{i}=f^{n_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}-\mathcal{I}\right)=\varepsilon_{\tau(i)}
$$

for some $\tau(i) \in\{0,1,2\}$. At each point $f^{j} \mathbf{a}_{i}\left(0 \leq j \leq n_{i}-1\right), f$ is locally biholomorphic; hence $f_{X}$ induces a biholomorphic map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{X}: \mathcal{F}_{f^{j_{\mathbf{a}}^{i}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{f j+1} \mathbf{a}_{i} \\
& f_{X}: \mathcal{F}_{f^{n_{i}-1} \mathbf{a}_{i}} \rightarrow E_{\tau(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{X}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{f j+1} \mathbf{a}_{i} & =\mathcal{F}_{f j_{\mathbf{a}_{i}}} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq n_{i}-2, i \in S,  \tag{3.1}\\
f_{X}^{*} E_{\tau(i)} & =\mathcal{F}_{f^{n_{i}-1} \mathbf{a}_{i}},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{X}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}_{i}}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\right\} \quad \text { for } i \in S, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\right\}$ is the class induced by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$ in $H^{1,1}(X)$. Let $\Omega=\mathcal{I} \cap\left\{\varepsilon_{\tau(i)}=f^{n_{i}-1} \mathbf{a}_{i}\right.$, $i \in S\}$, the set of blow-up centers that belong to $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of indices $i$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ is a singular orbit and is the first orbit in an open orbit list. For each $i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$ contains blow-up centers in the set $\Omega-\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$. Notice that if $i \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\varepsilon_{i} \notin$ $\Omega$; otherwise, $\varepsilon_{i} \in \Omega$. Using the identity $\pi^{*}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\right\}=\left\{\pi^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\right\}$, we have

$$
\left\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}\right\}= \begin{cases}H_{X}-E_{\Omega}+E_{i} & \text { if } i \notin \mathcal{A}  \tag{3.3}\\ H_{X}-E_{\Omega} & \text { if } i \in \mathcal{A}\end{cases}
$$

where $E_{\Omega}:=\sum_{\varepsilon_{t} \in \Omega} E_{t}$. A generic hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ does not contain any blow-up centers and may be considered a subset of $X$. Let us restrict the map to $X-\mathcal{I}$. A generic hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ intersects any line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. It follows that $\varepsilon_{i} \in f_{X}^{-1} \mathcal{H}$ for $i \in \Omega$ and so

$$
2 H_{X}=\pi^{*}\left(f^{*} H\right)=\pi^{*}\left\{f^{-1} \mathcal{H}\right\}=f_{X}^{*} H_{X}+E_{\Omega}
$$

Therefore, under $f_{X}^{*}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{X}^{*} H_{X}=2 H_{X}-E_{\Omega} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we see that the linear transformation $f_{X}^{*}$ is essentially determined by $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}$.

Now let us suppose that $f$ and $g$ are two maps with the same orbit list structure, $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}$, but that $f$ is elementary and $g$ is not. We have shown that $f_{1}^{*}$ is represented by the transformation (3.1)-(3.4). Let $g_{k}$ denote the 1-regularization of $g$ as given after Lemma 3.1. We claim that, under an appropriate choice of basis, the $g_{k}^{*}$ will be represented by the same matrix as $f_{1}^{*}$. Rather than carry out the details in general, we illustrate this with an example that appears later in the paper. (The matrix computation for the other cases is similar.) We consider the case where the list structures of $f$ and $g$ are both given by

$$
\# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\emptyset, \quad \# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\{\{1,6\}\}
$$

For the elementary map $f$, we may suppose that the singular orbits are $\mathcal{O}_{1}=$ $\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, f \mathbf{a}_{2}, f^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}, f^{3} \mathbf{a}_{2}, f^{4} \mathbf{a}_{2}, f^{5} \mathbf{a}_{2}=\varepsilon_{1}\right\}$ with $f^{j} \mathbf{a}_{2} \notin$ $\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$ for $0 \leq j \leq 4$. We construct $X=X_{f}$ by blowing up both orbits, and we fix the basis $\mathcal{B}_{f}=\left\{H_{X}, E_{2}, E_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{f^{4} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{f^{3} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{f^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{f \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}_{2}}\right\}$.

If $g$ has the same list structure then we may suppose $g$ has an orbit $\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}=\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{2}\right\}$, and we construct $X_{1}$ by blowing up $\varepsilon_{2}$. Further, we may suppose that $g_{1}=$ $g_{X_{1}}$ has an orbit of the form $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, g_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}, g_{1}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2} \in E_{2}, g_{1}^{3} \mathbf{a}_{2}, g_{1}^{4} \mathbf{a}_{2}, g_{1}^{5} \mathbf{a}_{2}=\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{1}\right\}$. We let $X_{2}$ be the space obtained from $X_{1}$ by blowing up the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ and let $g_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{2}$ be the induced map. The blow-up fibers are $E_{2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{g_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}}(0 \leq j \leq$ 5). The essential difference between $X_{f}$ and $X_{2}$ is that the exceptional (blow-up) fiber $\mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}}$ is created over the blow-up fiber $E_{2}$. We will use the ordered basis $\mathcal{B}_{g}=\left\{H_{X_{2}}, E_{2}, E_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{4} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{3} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}_{2}}\right\}$. By (3.1)-(3.4), $f_{X}^{*}$ is represented with respect to $\mathcal{B}_{f}$ by the matrix $M_{1}$. Now we pass from $f^{*}$ to $g_{2}^{*}$ and show how to go from $M_{1}$ to $M_{2}$. Since $g_{1}^{2} a_{2} \in E_{2}$, it lies over the point of indeterminacy $\varepsilon_{2}$ and so we must add a -1 in the first column. Since $g_{1} a_{2}, g_{1}^{2} a_{2} \in \Sigma_{1}=$ $g_{1}^{*} E_{2}$, we must add two -1 s to the second column. Thus $g_{2}^{*}$ is represented with respect to $\mathcal{B}_{g}$ by $M_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now define $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{g}=\left\{H_{X_{2}}, \hat{E}_{2}=E_{2}+\mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, E_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{\varepsilon_{1}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{4} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{3} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{g_{1}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{2}}\right.$, $\left.\mathcal{F}_{g_{1} \mathbf{a}_{2}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}_{2}}\right\}$. We see that, with respect to the basis $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{g}, g_{2}^{*}$ is represented by the matrix $M_{1}$. Hence $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have the same characteristic polynomial.

In general, we need to consider an analogous situation where we blow up a point $p_{0} \in X_{0}$ to produce a fiber $\mathcal{F}_{1}$. Then we blow up $p_{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$ and produce a fiber $\mathcal{F}_{2}$ (and so forth), resulting in a sequence of points $p_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{j}(0 \leq j \leq h-1)$ and blowup fibers $\mathcal{F}_{j+1}$ over $p_{j}$. The exceptional fibers $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{h}$ will appear in the basis $\mathcal{B}$. In order to pass to the basis $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$, we replace $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ by $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{j}:=\mathcal{F}_{j}+\mathcal{F}_{j+1}+\cdots+\mathcal{F}_{h}$.

For each orbit list $\mathcal{L}$, let $N_{\mathcal{L}}=n_{a}+\cdots+n_{a+\mu}$ denote the sum of elements of $|\mathcal{L}|$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is closed we define $T_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=x^{N_{\mathcal{L}}}-1$, and if $\mathcal{L}$ is open we define $T_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=$ $x^{N_{\mathcal{L}}}$. Define $S_{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows:

$$
S_{\mathcal{L}}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{1}\right\} \\ x^{n_{1}}+x^{n_{2}}+2 & \text { if } \mathcal{L} \text { is closed and }|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\} \\ x^{n_{1}}+x^{n_{2}}+1 & \text { if } \mathcal{L} \text { is open and }|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[x^{N_{\mathcal{L}}-n_{i}}+x^{n_{i}}\right]+3 & \text { if } \mathcal{L} \text { is closed and }|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right\} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{3} x^{N_{\mathcal{L}}-n_{i}}+\sum_{i \neq 2} x^{n_{i}}+1 & \text { if } \mathcal{L} \text { is open and }|\mathcal{L}|=\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right\}\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.3. If $f=L \circ J$, then the dynamic degree $\delta(f)$ is the largest real zero of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=(x-2) \prod_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}^{c} \cup \mathcal{L}^{o}} T_{\mathcal{L}}(x)+(x-1) \sum_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}^{c} \cup \mathcal{L}^{o}} S_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \prod_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \neq \mathcal{L}} T_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}}(x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{L}$ runs over all orbit lists.
Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we may assume that the orbit list structure belongs to an elementary map. The computation given in the Appendix of [BK] then shows that (3.5) is the characteristic polynomial of $f_{X}^{*}$.

## 4. Nondegenerate Critical Triangle

In this section we will determine the degree growth rate of $f$ with a nondegenerate critical triangle. As we noted at the beginning of Section 2, this is equivalent to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the curves $\Sigma_{\gamma}, \Sigma_{\beta}, \Sigma_{0}$ are distinct, as are $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, q\right\}$, the points of indeterminacy of $f^{-1}$. Let us choose invertible linear maps $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ such that

$$
M_{1} \Sigma_{0}=\Sigma_{0}, \quad M_{1} \Sigma_{1}=\Sigma_{\beta}, \quad M_{1} \Sigma_{2}=\Sigma_{\gamma}
$$

and

$$
M_{2} e_{1}=\varepsilon_{0}, \quad M_{2} e_{2}=\varepsilon_{1}, \quad M_{2} q=\varepsilon_{2}
$$

It follows that $M_{2} \circ f_{\alpha, \beta} \circ M_{1}$ is a quadratic map with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j} \leftrightarrow e_{j}$ and so is equal to the map $J$. Thus $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is linearly conjugate to a mapping of the form $L \circ J$. When we treat $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ as a mapping $L \circ J$, we make the identifications

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}=\Sigma_{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}=\Sigma_{\beta}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}=\Sigma_{\gamma} \\
\varepsilon_{0}=p_{\gamma}, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=e_{1}, \quad \varepsilon_{2}=p_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

and
$\mathbf{a}_{0}=f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}-\mathcal{I}(f)\right)=e_{1}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{1}=f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}-\mathcal{I}(f)\right)=e_{2}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{2}=f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}-\mathcal{I}(f)\right)=q$.
In all cases, we have $f\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}-\mathcal{I}\right)=\mathbf{a}_{0}=\varepsilon_{1}$, so the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}=\varepsilon_{1}\right\}$ is singular and has length 1 . Let us start with the most exceptional case.

Theorem 4.1. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{*}$, then the critical triangle is nondegenerate and the degree of $f_{\alpha, \beta}^{n}$ is asymptotically linear in $n$.

Proof. Our first claim is that the critical triangle is nondegenerate. Since $\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \neq$ 0 , it follows that $\Sigma_{\beta}$ and $\Sigma_{\gamma}$ are distinct. Hence the only possibility for the triangle to be degenerate is $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{0}$. But by Proposition 2.1 we have $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{2}$ and $f^{j}\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \neq \varepsilon_{2}$ for all $j \geq 1$. Therefore, $f^{j+1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1} \neq \varepsilon_{2}$ for all $j \geq 1$ and so $(\alpha, \beta) \notin V_{*}$.

Since $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{1}$, we blow up $\varepsilon_{1}$ and obtain the space $Y$ as in (1.2). The orbit of $\Sigma_{1}$ is now given by

$$
f_{Y}: \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}-\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{1} \rightarrow\left[\beta_{2}: \alpha_{2}\right]_{E_{1}} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{0} \in \mathcal{I}
$$

Let $Z$ be the space obtained by blowing up this orbit in $Y$. By the second statement in Lemma 1.1, the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ is not singular and so $f_{Z}$ is 1-regular. It follows that, with respect to the ordered basis $H_{Z}, E_{1}, E_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{f\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{a}_{1}}$, we have

$$
f_{Z}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are 0 and $\pm 1$, and the canonical form contains a $2 \times 2$ Jordan block $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$; hence it has linear growth.

If $(\alpha, \beta) \notin V_{*}$, then there are two possibilities for the exceptional component $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}$. The first is that $\mathbf{a}_{1} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}-\mathcal{I}(f)$, which occurs when $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ (see Figure 4.1). The second possibility is $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\varepsilon_{2} \in \mathcal{I}$, which occurs when $\beta_{2}=0$ (Figure 4.2). An analysis of the possibilities for $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ will yield the candidates for $\left|\mathcal{O}_{1}\right|$, $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|$, and $\# \mathcal{L}^{c / o}$ and will thus give the possibilities for $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$. We will determine $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ by finding the possibilities for $\# \mathcal{L}^{c / o}$ and then applying Theorem 3.3.


Figure 4.1 Nondegenerate critical triangle: case $\beta_{2} \neq 0$


Figure 4.2 Nondegenerate critical triangle: case $\beta_{2}=0$

THEOREM 4.2. If the critical triangle is nondegenerate, $\beta_{2} \neq 0$, and $(\alpha, \beta) \notin V_{*}$, then $\delta_{\star} \leq \delta(\alpha, \beta) \leq \phi$.

Proof. Let $Y$ be as in (1.2), and let $f_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$ be the induced map. Since $\mathbf{a}_{1}=$ $e_{2} \neq \varepsilon_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Y}: \Sigma_{1}-\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_{1} \rightarrow\left[\beta_{2}: \alpha_{2}\right]_{E_{1}} \rightarrow\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}: \beta_{1} \alpha_{2}: \alpha_{1} \beta_{2}\right] \in \Sigma_{B}-\Sigma_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}=f_{Y}^{2} \mathbf{a}_{1}=\varepsilon_{0}$, then the lines $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{B}$ each contain $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$. Since $\mathbf{a}_{2}=\Sigma_{B} \cap \Sigma_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{0}=\Sigma_{2} \cap \Sigma_{1}$, it follows that $\mathbf{a}_{2}=\varepsilon_{0}$. By the second statement of Lemma 1.1, neither $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ nor $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ can end at $\varepsilon_{2}$. Therefore, we have at most two singular orbits. There are three cases.

The first case is where neither $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ nor $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ is singular. Here the orbit list structure is $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\emptyset, \# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\{\{1\}\}$. By Theoerm 3.3, $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ is the largest real root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=(x-2) x+(x-1)=x^{2}-x-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is thus equal to $\phi$.
In the second case, both $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ are singular. In this case the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ cannot be singular and so $f^{2} \mathbf{a}_{1} \neq \varepsilon_{0}$. By the foregoing argument and (4.2), we have $n_{1}=$ $\left|\mathcal{O}_{1}\right| \geq 4$ and $\mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}$. It follows that $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\emptyset$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\left\{\left\{1, n_{1}\right\}\right\}$. The dynamic degree $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ is the largest root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=(x-2)\left(x^{1+n_{1}}-1\right)+(x-1)\left(x+x^{n_{1}}+2\right)=x^{n_{1}}\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)+x^{2} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n_{1}=4$, the characteristic polynomial is given by $x^{6}-x^{5}-x^{4}+2=$ $x^{2}(x-1)\left(x^{3}-x-1\right)$. Hence $\delta=\delta_{\star}$ in this case. Observe that the comparison principle [BK, Thm. 5.1] concerns the modulus of the largest zero of the characteristic polynomial of $f^{*}$. In Section 3 we showed that the characteristic polynomials are the same in the elementary and the nonelementary cases. We may therefore apply the comparison principle to conclude that $\delta(\alpha, \beta) \geq \delta_{\star}$ if $n_{1} \geq 4$.

The last case is where both $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ are singular. We have $n_{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}$; hence the orbit list structure is $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\emptyset, \# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\left\{\left\{n_{2}, 1\right\}\right\}$. By Theorem 3.3, the dynamic degree $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ is the largest root of the polynomial $\chi(x)=(x-2) x^{1+n_{2}}+(x-1)\left(x+x^{n_{2}}+1\right)=x^{n_{2}}\left(x^{2}-x-1\right)+x^{2}-1$.
If $n_{2}=1$, then $\chi(x)=x^{3}-x-1$.
Theorem 4.3. Assume that the critical triangle is nondegenerate. If $\beta_{2}=0$ and $n_{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \geq 8$, then $1<\delta(\alpha, \beta) \leq \delta_{\star}$. If $\beta_{2}=0$ and $n_{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \leq 7$, then $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=1$.

Proof. If $\beta_{2}=0$, we have $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$ and therefore

$$
\mathcal{O}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{0}=\varepsilon_{1}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{O}_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}\right\}
$$

If the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ is nonsingular then the orbit list structure is $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\{\{1,1\}\}, \# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\emptyset$. By Theorem 3.3, the degree growth rate $\delta(\alpha, \beta)$ is the largest root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=(x-2) x^{2}+(x-1)(x+x+1)=x^{3}-x-1 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ is singular, then the end point of the orbit must be the remaining point of indeterminacy, $\varepsilon_{0}$. Thus we have $n_{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}=\left\{\mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{0}\right\}$. It follows that the orbit list structure is $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\left\{\left\{1,1, n_{2}\right\}\right\}, \# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\emptyset$. Using Theorem 3.3, the dynamic degree is the largest root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(x) & =(x-2)\left(x^{2+n_{2}}-1\right)+(x-1)\left(2 x^{1+n_{2}}+x^{2}+x^{n_{2}}+2 x+3\right) \\
& =x^{n_{2}}\left(x^{3}-x-1\right)+x^{3}+x^{2}-1 . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the comparison principle [BK, Thm. 5.1] that $1 \leq \delta(\alpha, \beta) \leq \delta_{\star}$. For $n_{2}=7$, we have $\chi(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{3}-1\right)\left(x^{5}-1\right)$ and so $\delta(\alpha, \beta)=1$. For $n_{2}=8$, we have $\chi(x)=(x-1)\left(x^{10}+x^{9}-x^{7}-x^{6}-x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{3}+x+1\right)$ and $\chi^{\prime}(1)<0$; therefore, the largest real root is strictly greater than 1 . It then follows from the comparison principle that $\delta(\alpha, \beta)>1$ if $n_{2} \geq 8$.

Observe that when the orbit of $q$ lands on $p$ and we blow up the orbit of $q$, then we have removed the last exceptional curves for $f$ and $f^{-1}$. Hence our next result follows.

Proposition 4.4. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{n}$, then the induced map $f_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ is biholomorphic.

Figure 4.3 shows the arrangement of the exceptional varieties in $X$ in the case where the orbit of $q$ does not enter $\Sigma_{\beta}$.


Figure 4.3 Nondegenerate critical triangle: elementary case $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{n}$
Proof of Theorem 1. The statements about degree growth follow from Theorems 4.1-4.3. It remains only to show that $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ is not birationally conjugate to an automorphism. We consider various cases. First, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{*}$, then by Theorem 4.1 the degrees of the iterates grow linearly. It follows from [DFa, Thm. 0.2] that $f$ is not conjugate to an automorphism. The next case is where the orbit list structure is $\# \mathcal{L}^{c}=\emptyset$ and $\# \mathcal{L}^{o}=\{\{1\}\}$-that is, the generic case for a nondegenerate triangle and also the case of a degenerate triangle. In this case $f_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$ is 1-regular, and $f_{Y}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1\end{array}\right)$ with ordered basis $\{H, E\}$. Then $T=(3+\sqrt{5} H) / 2-E$ represents the cohomology class of the expanded current, and the intersection product is $T \cdot T>0$. Thus, by [DFa, Thm. 0.4], $f$ is not conjugate to an automorphism.

Finally, let us suppose that $g$ is an automorphism. Then the characteristic polynomial of $g^{*}$ has the form $x^{j} p(x)$, where $p(x)$ is symmetric; that is, if $r$ is a root of $p$ then so is $1 / r$. This is an easy consequence of $\left(g^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(g^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and the result of [DF] that, if $\delta(g)>1$, then $\delta(g)$ is a simple eigenvalue and the unique eigenvalue of modulus $>1$. In particular, the minimal polynomial of $\delta(g)$ (which is a birational invariant) must be symmetric. We have computed the characteristic polynomial for $f$ in all other cases for Theorem 1, and all of these show that the minimal polynomial of $\delta(g)$ is not symmetric.

## 5. Periodic Mappings

Here we determine the precise degree growth rate when $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \leq 7$. In particular, we show that the degree grows quadratically when $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|=7$ and that $f$ is periodic when $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \leq 6$. We do this by showing first that $f^{*}$ is periodic in this case and then that the periodicity of $f^{*}$ implies the periodicity of $f$.

Notice that, if $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|=n$, then $f^{n}\left(\Sigma_{\gamma}\right)=f^{n-1}(q)=p$ and so $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{n-1}$. To show the periodicity of $f_{X}^{*}$ it suffices to show that all roots of (4.7) with $n \leq$ 6 are roots of unity and are simple. For $n \leq 6$ we list the characteristic polynomials, together with the smallest polynomials of the form $x^{m}-1$ that they divide, as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}(n=1):(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right) \mid\left(x^{6}-1\right) . \\
& V_{1}(n=2):(x-1)\left(x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1\right) \mid\left(x^{5}-1\right) . \\
& V_{2}(n=3):(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{4}+1\right) \mid\left(x^{8}-1\right) . \\
& V_{3}(n=4):(x-1)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{4}-x^{2}+1\right) \mid\left(x^{12}-1\right) . \\
& V_{4}(n=5):(x-1)(x+1)\left(x^{6}-x^{3}+1\right) \mid\left(x^{18}-1\right) . \\
& V_{5}(n=6):(x-1)\left(x^{8}+x^{7}-x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{3}+x+1\right) \mid\left(x^{30}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus obtain our next result.
Lemma 5.1. Assume that the critical triangle is nondegenerate. If $\beta_{2}=0$ and $n=\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \leq 6$, then $f_{X}^{*}$ is periodic with period $\kappa_{n}$, where $\kappa_{n}=6,5,8,12,18,30$ (respectively).

When $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|=7$, the largest root of equation (4.7) is 1 and has multiplicity 3 . The matrix representation from Section 3 has a $3 \times 3$ Jordan block with eigenvalue 1 . This means that $f_{X}^{*}$ has quadratic growth, which leads to the following.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that the critical triangle is nondegenerate. If $\beta_{2}=0$ and $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|=7$, then $f_{X}^{*}$ has quadratic growth.

Observe that $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right|=1$ if and only if $q=p_{\gamma}$, which means that the parameters in $V_{0}$ satisfy $\alpha_{1} \beta_{0}-\alpha_{0} \beta_{1}=-\alpha_{2} \beta_{0}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$. With these conditions on $\alpha$ and $\beta, f$ has a period-6 cycle $\Sigma_{\beta} \mapsto e_{2} \mapsto \Sigma_{0} \mapsto e_{1} \mapsto \Sigma_{\gamma} \mapsto p_{\gamma} \mapsto \Sigma_{\beta}$, and it is not hard to check that the map $f$ is indeed periodic with period 6 .

Theorem 5.3. Assume that the critical triangle is nondegenerate. If $\beta_{2}=0$ and $\left|\mathcal{O}_{2}\right| \leq 6$, then $f$ is periodic with period $\kappa_{n}$.

To prove Theorem 5.3, we use the following lemma.
Lemma 5.4. If $f: \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a linear map with five invariant lines such that no more than three of them meet at any point, then $f$ is the identity.

Proof. Let $l_{i}, i=0,1,2,3,4$, denote the lines fixed by $f$. Three of these are in general position, so we may assume that $\Sigma_{i}=\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ for $i=0,1,2$. It follows that $f$ is a linear map represented as a diagonal matrix in the affine coordinates $\left(x_{1} / x_{0}, x_{2} / x_{0}\right)$. One of the lines $\ell_{3}$ or $\ell_{4}$ does not pass through the origin, and $f$ can preserve this line only if it is the identity.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. It suffices to show that $f^{\kappa_{n}}$ has at least five invariant lines for $n=2, \ldots, 6$. Consider the basis elements $E_{1}, E_{2}, \mathcal{F}_{q}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{p_{\gamma}}$. Since $\left(f_{X}^{*}\right)^{\kappa_{n}}$ is the identity, it fixes these basis elements; hence $f^{\kappa_{n}}$ fixes the base points in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Since $f^{\kappa_{n}}$ is linear, it leaves invariant every line through two of these base points.

## 6. Parameter Regions

There is a natural group action on parameter space. Namely, for $(\lambda, c, \mu) \in$ $\mathbf{C}_{*} \times \mathbf{C}_{*} \times \mathbf{C}$ we have the following actions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto(\lambda \alpha, \lambda \beta) ;  \tag{6.1}\\
(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto\left(\alpha_{0}, c \alpha_{1}, c \alpha_{2}, c \beta_{0}, c^{2} \beta_{1}, c^{2} \beta_{2}\right) ;  \tag{6.2}\\
(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto\left(\alpha_{0}+\mu\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)-\mu\left(\beta_{0}+\mu\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)\right),\right. \\
\left.\alpha_{1}-\mu \beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}-\mu \beta_{2}, \beta_{0}+\mu\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right), \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first action corresponds to the homogeneity of $f_{\alpha, \beta}$. The other two are given by linear conjugacies of $f_{\alpha, \beta}$. To see them, we write $f$ in affine coordinates, as in (0.2). Action (6.2) is given by conjugation of the scaling map $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(c x_{1}, c x_{2}\right)$, and (6.3) is given by conjugation of the translation $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}+\mu, x_{2}+\mu\right)$.

We now comment on maps of the special form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f:(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{y}{b+x+c y}\right), \quad b \neq 0 . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we have $\alpha=(0,0,1), \beta=(b, 1, c)$, and $\gamma=(0,0,1)$. The parameter set $V_{*}$ defined in the Introduction is the (6.1)-(6.3)-orbit of the map (6.4) for the special case $b c=-1$, which is the case of linear degree growth. Let $Y$ be as in (1.2), and let $f_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$ be the induced map. Repeating the computation of (1.3), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\beta} \mapsto E_{2} \mapsto[c: 0: 1]_{e_{1}} \in E_{1} \mapsto[c: 1: 0] \in \Sigma_{\gamma} . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude as follows that the subfamily (6.4) is critically finite the sense that all exceptional curves have finite orbits.

Proposition 6.1. If $f$ is as in (6.4), then $q=(0,0)$ is a fixed point and the exceptional curves are mapped to $q$. In particular, $f_{Y}$ is 1-regular.

Proof. If $c=0$, then the exceptional locus is $\Sigma_{\gamma}$; if $c \neq 0$, then both $\Sigma_{\beta}$ and $\Sigma_{\gamma}$ are exceptional. We see from (6.5) that in either case the exceptional curves are mapped to the fixed point.

The variety $V_{n} \subset\left\{\beta_{2}=0\right\}$ corresponds to a dynamical property: an exceptional line is mapped to a point of indeterminacy. Thus $V_{n}$ is invariant under the actions (6.1)-(6.3). For $(\alpha, \beta) \in V_{n}$ we have $\beta_{2}=0$, and applying (6.3) yields $\alpha_{1}=0$. Since by (0.4) we must have $\alpha_{2} \neq 0$ and $\beta_{1} \neq 0$, applying (6.1) and (6.2) gives $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{1}=1$. Therefore, each orbit within $V_{n}$ is represented by a map that may be written in affine coordinates as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{a+y}{b+x}\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is of the form (6.6) then $f^{-1}$ is conjugate, via the involution $\sigma: x \leftrightarrow y$ and a transformation (6.3), to the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{a-b+y}{-b+x}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a mapping is conjugate to its inverse if $b=0$.
Now we suppose that $f$ is given by (6.6). Thus $q=(-a, 0)$ and $p=(-b,-a)$, and $V_{n}$ is defined by the condition $f^{n} q=p$. The coefficients of the equations defining $V_{n}$ are positive integers, and $V_{n}$ is preserved under complex conjugation. An inspection of the equations defining $V_{n}$ yields the following.
$V_{0}$ : the orbit under (6.1)-(6.3) of $(a, b)=(0,0)$.
$V_{1}$ : the orbit of $(a, b)=(1,0)$.
$V_{2}$ : the orbits of $(a, b)=((1+i) / 2, i)$ and their conjugates.
$V_{3}$ : the orbits of $(a, b) \in\{((2+i-\sqrt{3}) / 2, i),((2+i+\sqrt{3}) / 2, i)\}$ and their conjugates.
We solve for $V_{4}, V_{5}$, and $V_{6}$ by using the resultant polynomials of the defining equations.
$V_{4}$ : the orbits of $(a, b) \approx(0.8711+0.7309 i, 1.4619 i),(0.6974+0.2538 i$, $0.5077 i),(-0.06857+0.3889 i, 0.7778 i)$ and their conjugates.
The exact values are roots of $1-3 a+9 a^{2}-24 a^{3}+36 a^{4}-27 a^{5}+9 a^{6}$ and $1+6 b^{2}+9 b^{4}+3 b^{6}$.
$V_{5}:$ the orbits of $(a, b) \approx(3.7007+1.2024,2.4048 i),(1.0353+0.3364 i$, $0.6728 i),(0.4465+0.6146 i, 1.2293 i),(-0.1826+0.2513 i, 0.5027 i)$, and their conjugates.
The exact values are roots of $1+3 a^{2}-20 a^{3}+49 a^{4}-60 a^{5}+37 a^{6}-10 a^{7}+a^{8}$ and $1+7 b^{2}+14 b^{4}+8 b^{6}+b^{8}$.
$V_{6}$ : The defining equations for $V_{6}$ are divisible by $b^{2}$, so all points of the form $(a, 0), a \neq 0,1$, belong to $V_{6}$. By (6.7), these parameters correspond to maps that are conjugate to their inverses. In addition, $V_{6}$ contains the orbits of

$$
a=(3 \pm \sqrt{5}+2 b) / 4, \quad b=i \sqrt{(5 \pm \sqrt{5}) / 2}
$$

and their conjugates.
By Theorem 2, mappings in $V_{6}$ have quadratic degree growth, and by [Gi] such mappings have invariant fibrations by elliptic curves. We shall demonstrate how our approach yields these invariant fibrations.

Let us first consider parameters $(a, 0)$. In this case, the fibration was obtained classically in [Ly] and [KoL]. In the space $Y$ of (1.2), the $f$-orbit $\left\{q_{j}=f^{j} q: j=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots, 6\}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{0}=(-a, 0)_{\mathbf{C}^{2}}=[1:-a: 0], \quad q_{1}=(0,-1)_{\mathbf{C}^{2}}=[1: 0:-1], \\
q_{2}=[0: 0: 1]=e_{2}, \quad q_{3}=[0: 1:-1], \\
q_{4}=[1: 0:-1]_{e_{1}}, \quad q_{5}=(-1,0), \quad q_{6}=(0,-a)=p,
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 6.1 Points $f^{j} q={ }^{\prime} j ’(0 \leq j \leq 6)$ for $V_{6}$ : case $b=0$ on left; $b \neq 0$ on right


Figure 6.2 Space $X$ for $V_{6}, b=0$
as shown in Figure 6.1. Here we use ' $j$ ' to denote ' $q_{j}$ '. The construction of $X$ is shown in Figure 6.2, where ' $f$ ' $Q$ ' denotes the blowup fiber over $q_{j}$. In contrast, the case corresponding to $(a, b) \in V_{6}, b \neq 0$, corresponds to Figure 4.3. Consulting Figure 6.2, we see that the cohomology class $3 H_{X}-E_{1}-E_{2}-Q_{2}-Q_{4}-\sum Q_{j}$ is fixed under $f^{*}$. We will find polynomials that correspond as closely as possible to this class; these will be cubics that vanish on $e_{i}$ and $q_{j}$. Looking for lines that contain as many of the $q_{j}$ as possible, we see that $L_{1}=\{x+y+a=0\}$ contains $0,3,6$. Mapping forward by $f$ yields

$$
L_{1} \mapsto L_{2}=\{y+1=0\} \mapsto L_{3}=\{x+1=0\} \mapsto L_{1} .
$$

In addition, the points $q_{j}(j=2,3,4)$ are contained in the line at infinity $M_{1}=$ $\Sigma_{0}$. This maps forward as

$$
M_{1} \mapsto e_{1} \mapsto M_{2}=\{y=0\} \mapsto M_{3}=\{x=0\} \mapsto e_{2} \mapsto M_{1} .
$$

The cubic $c_{1}=(x+y+a t)(x+t)(y+t)$ defines $L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, and $c_{2}=$ xyt defines $M_{1}+M_{2}+M_{3}$. Setting $t=1$ and taking the quotient, we find the classical invariant $h(x, y)=c_{1} / c_{2}$.

Now we consider the other four parameters $(a, b)$ in $V_{6}$. Inspecting the defining equations of $V_{6}$, we find that $a$ and $b$ satisfy $-2 a+a^{2}+b-a b=0$ and $-b^{2}-1+b-2 a=0$. Given these relations, the $f$-orbit of $q$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{0}=(-a, 0), \quad q_{1}=(0,1-a), \quad q_{2}=(1-a, 1 / b) \\
q_{3}=\left(1 / b, a(1+a b) /\left(a b-b^{2}\right)\right), \quad q_{4}=\left(a(1+a b) /\left(a b-b^{2}\right), 1-a\right), \\
q_{5}=(1-a,-b), \quad q_{6}=(-b,-a)
\end{gathered}
$$

Looking again at the points $q_{j}(j=0,3,6)$, we see that they are contained in a line $L_{1}=\{x+(1-b / a) y+a=0\}$. Mapping $L_{1}$ forward under $f$, we find that

$$
L_{1} \mapsto L_{2}=\{y+a-1=0\} \mapsto L_{3}=\{x+a-1=0\} \mapsto L_{1} .
$$

We multiply these linear functions together to obtain a cubic $c_{1}$, which defines $\sum L_{i}$. We see, too, that the points $q_{j}(j=1,3,5)$ are contained in the line $M_{1}=$ $\left\{(a-b-1) x+(a-1) y+(a-1)^{2}=0\right\}$. Mapping forward then yields

$$
M_{1} \mapsto M_{2}=\left\{(a-1) x y+\left(b^{2}+1\right) y+(a-b-1) x+(a-b)=0\right\} \mapsto M_{1} .
$$

Multiplying the defining functions, we obtain a cubic $c_{2}$ that defines $M_{1}+M_{2}$. Now we define $k(x, y)=c_{1} / c_{2}$. Inspection shows that $k \circ f=\omega k$, where $\omega$ is a fifth root of unity. Hence $f$ is a period-5 mapping of the set of cubics $\{k=$ const $\}$ to itself.

## Appendix. Explanation of the Computer Graphics

It is useful to have visual representations for rational mappings. A number of interesting computer graphic representations of the behavior of rational mappings of the real plane have been given in various works by Bischi, Gardini, and Mira; we cite [BGM1] as an example. The pictures here have a somewhat different origin; they are made following a scheme used earlier by one of the authors and Jeff Diller (see [BD1; BD3]) and are motivated by the theory of dynamics of complex surface maps. Let $f$ be a birational map of a Kähler surface. If $\delta(f)>1$, then there are positive, closed, $(1,1)$-currents $T^{ \pm}$such that $f^{*} T^{+}=\delta(f) T^{+}$and $f^{*} T^{-}=$ $\delta(f)^{-1} T^{-}$(see [DFa]). These currents have the additional property that, for any complex curve $\Gamma$, there exists a number $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c T^{+}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta^{n}} f^{n *}[\Gamma] \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $T^{-}$. By work of Dujardin [Du1] these currents have the structure of a generalized lamination. We let $\mathcal{L}^{s / u}$ denote the generalized laminations corresponding to $T^{ \pm}$. It was shown in [BD2] that the wedge product $T^{+} \wedge T^{-}$defines an invariant measure in many cases, and Dujardin [Du2] showed that this invariant measure may be found by taking the "geometric intersection" of the measured laminations $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{u}$.

When one of our mappings $f$ has real coefficients, it defines a birational map of the real plane, and we can hope that there might be real analogues for the results of the theory of complex surfaces. In [BD1; BD3] this was proved to be the case for certain maps, but is not known to hold for the maps studied in this paper.

Figure 0.1 was drawn as follows. We work in the affine coordinate chart ( $x, y$ ) on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ given by $x_{0}=1, x=x_{1} / x_{0}=x_{1}, y=x_{2} / x_{0}=x_{2}$. We start with a long segment $L \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and map it forward several times. The resulting curve is colored black and "represents" $\mathcal{L}^{u}$. After the first few iterations, the computer picture seems to "stabilize", and further iteration serves to "fill out" the lamination. The appearance of the computer picture obtained in this manner is independent of the choice of initial line $L$. To represent $\mathcal{L}^{s}$, we repeat this procedure for $f^{-1}$ and color the resulting picture gray. In Figure 0.1 we presented $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ in gray in the left-hand frame; then we presented $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ together in the right-hand frame in order to show the set where they intersect.

We also want the graphic to have the appearance of a subset of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, so we rescale the distance to the origin. The resulting "disk" is a compactification of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. In fact, this is real projective space, since antipodal points of the circle are identified. The circle forming the boundary of this disk is the line $\Sigma_{0}$ at infinity.

Figure 0.1 was obtained using the map of the form (6.4):

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{y}{0.1+x+0.3 y}\right)
$$

By Proposition 6.1, $f$ is critically finite and so $\delta(f)=\phi$ by Theorem 4.2. On the left half of Figure A.1, we have redrawn $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ together with the points of indeterminacy of $f$ and $f^{-1}$. Pictured, for instance, are $e_{1}, e_{2}, p_{0}=[0:-0.3: 1]$, $p_{\gamma}=(-0.1,0)$, and $q=(0,0)$. The exceptional curves are lines connecting certain pairs of these points and may be found easily using Figure 1.1 as a guide. As we expect, $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ is "bunched" at the points of indeterminacy of $f$ (i.e., $p_{0}, e_{1}$, and $p_{\gamma}$ ). Let us track the backward orbits of these points. First, $p_{0}=f^{-1} p_{0}$ is fixed under $f^{-1}$, and $f^{-1} p_{\gamma}=e_{1}$. Now let $Y$ and $f_{Y}$ be as in (1.2). Repeating the calculations at equation (1.3), we see that $f_{Y}^{-1}$ takes $p_{\gamma}$ to the fiber point [1:0: $-0.1]_{E_{1}}$ over $e_{1}$. This fiber point is then mapped under $f^{-1}$ to the point $s=[0$ : $1.03:-0.1] \in \Sigma_{0}$. The next pre-image is $f^{-1} s=p_{0}$, so $f^{-1}$ is critically finite in the sense that the exceptional curves all have finite orbits. This explains why $\mathcal{L}^{s}$ is bunched at only four points.


Figure A. 1 Explanation of Figure 0.1 (left); a mapping from $V_{7}$ (right)

To explain the points where $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ is bunched, we have plotted the point $r:=$ $f^{3} \Sigma_{\beta}=(10 / 3,0)$ from (6.5). If we superimpose the picture of $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ on the left panel of Figure A.1, we find that $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ is bunched exactly on the set $e_{1}, e_{2}, q, r$. The "eye" that appears in the first quadrant is due to an attracting fixed point.

The right-hand side of Figure A. 1 is obtained by using the map

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(y, \frac{-0.499497+y}{-0.415761+x}\right)
$$

which corresponds to a real parameter $(a, b) \in V_{7}$. By " $j$ " $(j=0, \ldots, 7)$ we denote the point $f^{j} q$. Thus " 7 " is the point of indeterminacy $p=f^{7} q$. We let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the manifold obtained by blowing up $e_{1}, e_{2}$, and " $j$ " for $j=$ $0, \ldots, 7$. The lamina of $\mathcal{L}^{u}$ are then separated in $X$, and the apparent intersections may be viewed as artifacts of the projection $\pi$.
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