

---

# The Decomposition, Inertia and Ramification Groups in Birational Geometry

*M.H.Gizatullin*

---

Let  $X$  be an irreducible scheme, let  $\text{Bir}(X)$  be its group of birational automorphisms, let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Bir}(X)$ . If  $g \in G$ , then  $\text{dom}(g)$  denotes the domain of definition of the map  $g$ ,  $g^*$  denotes the corresponding automorphism of the total ring of fractions on  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be an irreducible reduced subscheme of  $X$ , let  $p_Y$  be the generic point of  $Y$ , let  $A_Y$  be the local ring of  $p_Y$ , let  $m_Y$  be the maximal ideal of  $A_Y$ .

The decomposition group of  $Y$  in  $G$  is

$$G_Y = \{g \in G \mid p_Y \in \text{dom}(g) \cap \text{dom}(g^{-1}), \quad g(p_Y) = p_Y\}.$$

In other words,  $G_Y$  is the stabilizer of  $p_Y$  in  $G$ . There is a natural homomorphism

$$\tau : G_Y \longrightarrow \text{Bir}(Y). \quad (1)$$

The group  $G_Y$  operates on the local ring  $A_Y$ ,  $G_Y$  preserves all the powers of the maximal ideal  $m_Y$ , therefore  $G_Y$  operates on  $A_Y/m_Y^{i+1}$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . The  $i$ -th ramification group of  $Y$  in  $G$  is

$$G_{iY} = \{g \in G_Y \mid g \text{ operates trivially on } A_Y/m_Y^{i+1}\}.$$

In other words,  $G_{iY}$  consists of those elements of  $G_Y$  that operate trivially on the  $i$ -th infinitesimal neighbourhood of  $Y$  in  $X$ . Especially,  $G_{0Y}$  is the kernel of the homomorphism (1). The group  $G_{0Y}$  is called the inertia group of  $Y$  in  $G$ .

**Example 1.** This example is the origin of the terminology used in the paper. If  $A$  is a Dedekind domain,  $K$  is its field of fractions,  $L/K$  is a finite Galois extension,  $B$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ ,  $X = \text{Spec} B$ ,  $Y$  is a subscheme of  $X$  defined by a maximal ideal  $P$  of  $B$ ,  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $G_Y$ ,  $G_{0Y}$ ,  $G_{iY}$  are respectively the decomposition, inertia and ramification groups of  $P$  in  $L/K$  in the usual sense (see [1], chap. 5, §10).

**Example 2.** This example indicates that a notion of congruence subgroup is a special case of the notion of the ramification group. Let  $X$  be  $\text{Proj} \mathbb{Z}[z_0, z_1]$ , i.e.  $X$  is the projective line over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , let  $Y$  be a curve on  $X$  defined by a prime number  $p$  (see picture in Mumford's fifth lecture [2]). The group  $\text{Bir}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ , the group  $\text{Bir}(X)_Y$  consists of

linear fractional transformations with integer coefficients and determinant coprime to  $p$ . If  $G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $G \subset \text{Bir}(X)$ , then  $G_Y = G$ ,  $G_{iY}$  is the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(p^{i+1})$ .

Let us return to the general situation.

**Theorem 1.** For each  $i \geq 0$  the group  $G_{iY}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_Y$ .

**Proof.**  $G_{iY}$  is the kernel of a natural homomorphism

$$G_Y \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(A_Y/m_Y^{i+1}).$$

**Theorem 2.**  $(G_{iY}, G_{jY}) \subset G_{(i+j)Y}$ , where  $i \geq 0$ ,  $j \geq 0$ ,  $(A, B)$  is a subgroup generated by  $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ .

**Proof.** If  $a \in G_{iY}$ ,  $b \in G_{jY}$ ,  $x \in A_Y$ , then

$$a^*(x) = x + \sum_l \left( \prod_{k=0}^i y_{lk} \right), \quad b^*(x) = x + \sum_n \left( \prod_{m=0}^j z_{nm} \right),$$

where  $y_{lk}$ ,  $z_{nm}$  are elements of  $m_Y$ . Further

$$b^*a^*(x) \in \left( x + \sum_n \left( \prod_{m=0}^j z_{nm} \right) + \sum_l \left( \prod_{k=0}^i (y_{lk} + m_Y^{j+1}) \right) \right),$$

$$a^*b^*(x) \in \left( x + \sum_l \left( \prod_{k=0}^i y_{lk} \right) + \sum_n \left( \prod_{m=0}^j (z_{nm} + m_Y^{i+1}) \right) \right),$$

hence

$$b^*a^*(x) - a^*b^*(x) \in m_Y^{i+j+1}, \quad (bab^{-1}a^{-1})^*(x) - x \in m_Y^{i+j+1}.$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 1 and example 2 motivate the following terminology. We shall say that a triple  $(X, Y, G_Y)$  has the positive solution of the congruence subgroups problem (briefly,  $(X, Y, G_Y)$  has p.s.c.s.p.), if each nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G_Y$  contains some nontrivial ramification subgroup  $G_{iY}$ . In the opposite case we shall say that this triple has the negative solution of that problem (briefly,  $(X, Y, G_Y)$  has p.s.c.s.p.). The congruence subgroup problem for some triples is connected with the unsolved problem of the simplicity of the Cremona group  $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_2$  be the projective plane over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , let  $P$  be a closed point on the plane, let  $G = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  be the Cremona group. If the triple  $(\mathbb{P}_2, P, G_P)$  has p.s.c.s.p., then the group  $G$  is simple. In other words, if  $G$  is not simple, then there exists a normal subgroup  $H \subset G_P$  such that  $\{e\} \neq (H \cap G_{iP}) \neq G_{iP}$  for each  $i \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $H \cap G_P \neq \{e\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g$  be a nontrivial element of  $H$ ,  $P_0 \in \text{dom}(g) \cap \text{dom}(g^{-1})$ . A replacement of  $g$  by a suitable conjugate element makes  $P_0 = P$ . Suppose that  $g(P) = Q$ ,  $Q \neq P$  (if  $Q = P$ , then  $g \in H \cap G_P$ ),

$$M = \{h \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2) \mid h(P) = Q, h(Q) = P\}.$$

The set  $M$  is a connected 4-dimensional subvariety of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ . There exists  $h \in M$  such that  $hgh^{-1} \neq g^{-1}$ . Indeed, if  $g$  is a projective transformation, then the existence of  $h$  is evident, if  $g$  has a fundamental point, then a suitable  $h$  moves aside fundamental points of  $g$  apart ones of  $g^{-1}$ . Thus the transformation  $hgh^{-1}g$  is nontrivial and belongs to  $H \cap G_P$ . Q.E.D.

If the triple  $(\mathbb{P}_2, P, G_P)$  has p.s.c.s.p., then  $H \cap G_P \supset G_{iP}$  for some natural  $i$ . Let  $x, y$  be the affine coordinates on the projective plane, let  $P$  be the origin. The transformation  $g$ , defined by the formulae

$$x' = x, \quad y' = y + x^{i+1} \quad (2)$$

belongs to  $G_{iP}$ , hence  $g \in H$ . It is sufficient to prove that the normal closure  $\langle g \rangle$  of  $g$  in the group  $G$  is the whole  $G$  (normal closure of a subset is the smallest normal subgroup containing this subset). The transformation (2) preserves the pencil of lines  $x = \text{const}$ , therefore it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *If a nontrivial birational transformation of the projective plane preserves a pencil of lines, then the normal closure of this transformation in the Cremona group is the whole Cremona group.*

**Proof.** *Case 1.* Let  $g$  be a projective transformation. Since the projective group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2)$  over an algebraically closed field is simple, we have  $\langle g \rangle \supset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2)$ , therefore the involution  $h$ , defined by  $x' = 1 - x, y' = 1 - y$ , belongs to  $g$ . If  $s$  is the standard quadratic transformation  $x' = 1/x, y' = 1/y$ , then  $s^2 = e, (hs)^3 = e$ , hence  $s = (hs)h(hs)^{-1} \in \langle g \rangle$ . The set  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2) \cup \{s\}$  generates  $G$ , therefore  $\langle g \rangle = G$ .

*Case 2.* Let  $g$  be an arbitrary nontrivial element of the group  $J$  of all the birational transformations preserving the pencil  $x = \text{const}$ . Elements of  $J$  are defined by the formulae of the following form

$$x' = (px + q)/(rx + s), \quad y' = (a(x)y + b(x))/(c(x)y + d(x)), \quad (3)$$

where  $p, q, r, s \in k, a, b, c, d \in k[x], ps - qr \neq 0, ad - bc \neq 0$ . As well as in the proof of lemma 1, there is a transformation  $h$  of the form (3) with  $p = s = 1, q = r = 0, \{a, b, c, d\} \subset k$  such that  $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \neq e$ . Thus the transformation  $g_0 = ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$  is nontrivial,  $g_0$  is of the form (3) with  $p = s = 1, q = r = 0$ , i.e.  $g_0$  is an element of the group

$$\text{Aut}(k(x, y)/k(x)) = \text{PGL}(2, k(x)).$$

Each nontrivial normal subgroup of the last group contains  $\text{PSL}(2, k(x))$ , hence  $\langle g \rangle$  contains a nontrivial projective transformation, therefore, as it was established in the first case,  $\langle g \rangle = G$ . Lemma 2 and theorem 2 are proved.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $L$  be a line on the projective plane over an algebraically closed field, let  $G$  be the Cremona group. If the triple  $(\mathbb{P}_2, L, G_L)$  has p.s.c.s.p., then the group  $G$  is simple.*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ , let  $x, y$  be the affine coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_2$ . Suppose that  $x = 0$  is the equation of  $L, P$  is the origin,  $f$  is the Cremona transformation defined by  $x' = x, y' = xy$ . By lemma 1 there exists  $g_0 \in H \cap G_P, g_0 \neq e$ . The transformation  $g_1 = f^{-1}g_0f$  belongs to  $G_L$ , therefore  $H \cap G_L \neq \{e\}$ , hence this

intersection contains some subgroup  $G_{iL}$ . The transformation  $g$  of the form (2) belongs to  $G_{iL}$ , hence  $g \in H$ . From lemma 2 it follows that  $\langle g \rangle = G$ . Q.E.D.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $Y$  be a plane rational curve which admits a birational straightening, i.e. there is a Cremona transformation  $f$  such that the generic point  $p_Y$  of  $Y$  belongs to  $\text{dom}(f) \cap \text{dom}(f^{-1})$  and  $f(p_Y)$  is the generic point of some line  $L$ . If the triple  $(\mathbb{P}^2, Y, G_Y)$  has p.s.c.s.p., then  $G$  is simple.*

**Proof.** If  $f$  is the straightening,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then according to the proof of corollary 1  $H \cap G_L \neq \{e\}$ , hence  $H \cap f^{-1}G_L f \neq \{e\}$ , i.e.  $H \cap G_Y \neq \{e\}$ . If  $H$  contains  $G_{iY}$ , then  $H$  contains  $G_{iL} = fG_{iY}f^{-1}$ . By corollary 1  $H = G$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark on lemma 2.** The methods used in the proofs of lemmas 1, 2 lead to the following result. If a Cremona transformation  $g$  transforms some pencil of lines into a pencil of curves of degree  $d$ ,  $d \leq 4$ , then  $\langle g \rangle = G$ . Especially, if  $\text{deg}(g) \leq 7$ , then  $\langle g \rangle = G$ . We omit the proof.

**Example 3,** or more precisely, a construction of some elements of the decomposition group. Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional projective space over a field  $k$  of characteristic different from 2,  $G = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ , let  $Y$  be a reduced irreducible hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $\text{deg } Y = d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , let  $P$  be a  $k$ -rational point of  $\mathbb{P}_n$  such that the multiplicity of  $P$  on  $Y$  is equal to  $d - 2$ . We shall construct two involutory transformations  $T_P \in G_Y$  and  $R_P \in G_{0Y}$ . Both of these involutions preserve the general lines through  $P$ . Let  $L$  be such a line,  $L \cap Y = \{P, A, B\}$ . The involutions  $T_P$  and  $R_P$  are defined by the following conditions  $T_P(A) = B$ ,  $(T_P | L)(P) = P$ ,  $R_P(A) = A$ ,  $R_P(B) = B$ . Especially, if  $d = 2$ , then  $P$  does not belong to the quadric  $Y$ ,  $T_P \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ ,  $R_P$  is the quadratic inversion with the centre  $P$  and fixed quadric  $Y$ . If  $d = 3$ , then  $Y$  is a cubic hypersurface, the restriction  $t_P = T_P | Y$  (i.e.  $t_P$  is the image of  $T_P$  by the homomorphism (1)) is the involution used by Yu.I. Manin in [3]. If  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  are the affine coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P = (0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $f = 0$  is the equation of  $Y$ , where  $f = F_{d-2}(x) + F_{d-1}(x) + F_d(x)$ ,  $F_i \in k[x]$ ,  $F_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $i$ , then the above transformations are defined by the following formulae

$$T_P : \quad x'_i = -x_i F_{d-2} / (F_{d-2} + F_{d-1}),$$

$$R_P : \quad x'_i = -x_i (F_{d-1} + 2F_{d-2}) / (F_{d-1} + 2F_d),$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n,$$

or

$$R_P : \quad x'_i = x_i - (2x_i / (2F_d + F_{d-1})) f,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that the condition  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  is essential only for the construction of  $R_P$ .

**Theorem 4.** *There are the following relations between the above transformations  $T_P$ ,  $R_P$  and  $W \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n, Y)$  (i.e.  $W$  is a projective  $k$ -automorphism preserving  $Y$ )*

$$R_P^2 = e, \quad T_P R_P = R_P T_P, \quad W R_P W^{-1} = R_{W(P)}, \quad (4)$$

$$T_P^2 = e, \quad W T_P W^{-1} = T_{W(P)}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover if  $A, B, C$  are three collinear points of the multiplicity  $d - 2$  on  $Y$ , then

$$(T_A T_B T_C)^2 = e. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** The relations (4), (5) are evident consequences of the construction of  $T_P, R_P$ . Let  $L$  be the line containing  $A, B, C$ . Since the family of planes through  $L$  is invariant with respect to  $T_A, T_B, T_C$ , then it is sufficient to prove that the restriction of  $T_A T_B T_C$  on the general plane of this family is involutory. Thus we shall deal with the case when  $n = 2$ ,  $Y$  is a curve (maybe reducible) of degree  $d$ ,  $A, B, C$  are three collinear points of the multiplicity  $d - 2$  on  $Y$ . If  $d \geq 4$ , then  $L \subset Y$  and either

(i)  $Y = Z + (d - 3)L$ , where  $Z$  is a reduced curve of the degree 3,  $L$  is not a component of  $Z$ , the points  $A, B, C$  are simple on  $Z$ , or

(ii)  $Y = Z + (d - 2)L$ , where  $Z$  is a reduced conic, the points  $A, B, C$  don't lie on the conic.

Let  $x, y$  be the affine coordinates such that  $y = 0$  is the equation of  $L$ , let  $h = 0$  be the equation of  $Z$ , let  $Z_t$  be the general member of the pencil  $h + ty^3 = 0$  (resp.,  $h + ty^2 = 0$ ) in the case (i) (resp., (ii)). The involutions  $T_A^{(t)}, T_B^{(t)}, T_C^{(t)}$  constructed with the help of  $Z_t$  (instead of  $Z$ ) are independent of the parameter  $t$ , i.e. they coincide with  $T_A, T_B, T_C$  respectively. It is obvious that  $((T_A T_B T_C) | Z_t)^2 = e$ , therefore (6) is true. Q.E.D.

We shall touch upon the question of the surjectivity of the restriction map  $r : G_Y \longrightarrow \text{Bir}(Y)$  mentioned in (1). In the classical situation of the example 1 the map  $r$  is epimorphism (see [4], ch. 5, n<sup>o</sup>2, Th.2, (ii)).

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathbb{P}_3$  be the projective space over a perfect field  $k$ ,  $G = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_3)$ , let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}_3$  be a minimal smooth cubic surface defined over  $k$ . Then the map  $r$  is epimorphism, moreover the exact sequence*

$$\{e\} \longrightarrow G_{0Y} \longrightarrow G_Y \longrightarrow \text{Bir}(Y) \longrightarrow \{e\}$$

splits, i.e. there exists a homomorphism  $s : \text{Bir}(Y) \longrightarrow G_Y$  such that  $rs = \text{id}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $L/k$  be a quadratic extension of  $k$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ -conjugate points of  $Y$ ,  $C \in Y(k)$  be a rational point collinear with  $A$  and  $B$ . Then the transformation  $S_{AB} = T_A T_B T_C$  is defined over  $k$ . Indeed  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ -conjugate to  $S_{AB}$  is  $T_B T_C T_A$  which coincides with  $S_{AB}$  by (6), hence  $S_{AB} \in G$ . The transformations  $t_P = T_P | Y = r(T_P) \in \text{Bir}(Y)$ ,  $s_{AB} = S_{AB} | Y = r(S_{AB}) \in \text{Bir}(Y)$  (where  $P \in Y(k)$ ,  $A, B \in Y(L)$  for some quadratic extension  $L/k$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ -conjugate) together with the set  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_3, Y)$  generate the group  $\text{Bir}(Y)$  (see [3], ch. 5). Therefore  $r$  is epimorphic. Defining relations between the mentioned generators are the following

$$t_P^2 = e, s_{AB}^2 = e, (t_P t_Q t_R)^2 = e \text{ for each collinear triple } P, Q, R,$$

$$wt_P w^{-1} = t_{w(P)}, ws_{AB} w^{-1} = s_{w(A)w(B)}, \text{ where } w \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_3, Y) | Y$$

(see [3]). The analogous relations are true for the transformations  $T_P, S_{AB}$  (see (5), (6)), therefore the definition of the required map  $s$  by  $s(t_P) = T_P, s(s_{AB}) = S_{AB}$  is correct. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $Y$  is a plane smooth cubic curve over a perfect field  $k$ ,  $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $G = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_2/k)$ , then the map (1) is surjective.*

**Proof.** If  $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ , then the group  $\text{Bir}(Y)$  is generated by the set  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_2, Y) | Y$  and the reflections  $t_P = T_P | Y$ , where  $P \in Y(k)$ . Q.E.D.

The next point is the nontriviality of the higher ramification groups.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $Y$  is an irreducible reduced  $k$ -hypersurface in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_n$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic different from two, the set  $M(Y)$  of points  $P \in \mathbb{P}_n(k)$  with  $\text{mult}_P(Y) = d-2$  is infinite, then all the groups  $G_{iY}$  (where  $G = \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_n/k)$ ) are different from  $\{e\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $P \in M(Y)$ ,  $R_P$  be the transformation constructed in example 3,  $f = 0$  be an affine equation of  $Y$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $R_P^*(f) \equiv -f \pmod{m_Y^2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the affine coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}_n$ ,  $P = (0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $f = F_{d-2} + F_{d-1} + F_d$ , where  $F_m = F_m(x)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$ . If  $D = (F_{d-1} + 2F_{d-2})/(2F_d + F_{d-1})$ ,  $E = 2/(2F_d + F_{d-1})$ , then  $D = -1 + Ef$ ,  $R_P^*(x_i) = -x_i D$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (see example 3). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_P^*(f) &= F_{d-2}(-xD) + F_{d-1}(-xD) + F_d(-xD) = (-D)^{d-2}(F_{d-2} - DF_{d-1} + D^2 F_d) \\ &= (-D)^{d-2}(F_{d-2} + F_{d-1} + F_d - Ef(F_{d-1} + 2F_d) + E^2 f^2 F_d) \\ &= (-D)^{d-2}(-f + E^2 f^2 F_d) \equiv -f \pmod{m_Y^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $P, Q \in M(Y)$ ,  $P \neq Q$ , then  $R_P R_Q \neq e$ ,  $(R_P R_Q)^*(f) \equiv f \pmod{m_Y^2}$ .*

**Proof.** The points  $P, Q$  are among the fundamental points of the transformation  $R_P R_Q$ , hence this transformation is nonprojective. The congruence of the lemma follows from the preceding lemma. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $P, Q, U, V$  are four different points of  $M(Y)$ ,  $a = R_P R_Q$ ,  $b = R_U R_V$ ,  $g = (a, b)$ , i.e.  $g = a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$ , then  $g \neq e$ ,  $g \in G_{1Y}$ .*

**Proof.** These four points belong to the set of fundamental points of  $g$ , hence  $g \neq e$ . If  $z$  is an element of the local ring  $A_Y$ , then  $a^*(z) \equiv z + sf \pmod{m_Y^2}$ ,  $b^*(z) \equiv z + tf \pmod{m_Y^2}$  because of  $a, b \in G_{0Y}$ . By lemma 2  $b^* a^*(z) \equiv z + (s+t)f \pmod{m_Y^2}$ ,  $a^* b^*(z) \equiv z + (s+t)f \pmod{m_Y^2}$ , hence  $b^* a^*(z) \equiv a^* b^*(z) \pmod{m_Y^2}$ ,  $(a, b)^*(z) \equiv z \pmod{m_Y^2}$ , i.e.  $(a, b)$  is an element of  $G_{1Y}$ . Q.E.D.

Let  $(P, Q, U, V), (P_1, Q_1, U_1, V_1), (P_2, Q_2, U_2, V_2), \dots$  be disjoint quadruples of points of  $M(Y)$ , let  $g, g_1, g_2, \dots$  be the corresponding commutators constructed in lemma 3. Then

these commutators belong to  $G_{1\gamma}$ ,  $(g, g_1) \in G_{2\gamma}$  by theorem 2,  $(g, g_1) \neq e$  by the reason pointed in the proofs of lemmas 2, 3,  $((g, g_1), g_2)$  is a nontrivial element of  $G_{3\gamma}$ , etc. Q.E.D.

## References

- [1] O. ZARISKI, P. SAMUEL. *Commutative algebra*, vol.1, Van Nostrand, 1958.
- [2] D. MUMFORD. *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Princeton, 1966.
- [3] Yu. I. MANIN. *Cubic forms*, Moscow, 1972 (Engl. transl.: North Holland, Amsterdam, 1974, 2-nd edition 1986).
- [4] N. BOURBAKI. *Eléments de Mathématique: Algèbre commutative*, chap. 1-7, Paris, Hermann, 1961-1965.

