

THE GENERALIZED AMALGAMATED PRODUCT STRUCTURE OF THE TAME AUTOMORPHISM GROUP IN DIMENSION THREE

DAVID WRIGHT

Department of Mathematics
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130, USA
wright@math.wustl.edu

Abstract. For K a field of characteristic zero, it is shown that the tame subgroup $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ of the group $\mathrm{GA}_3(K)$ of polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_K^3 can be realized as a generalized amalgamated product, specifically, the product of three subgroups, amalgamated along pairwise intersections, in a manner that generalizes the well-known amalgamated free product structure of $\mathrm{TA}_2(K)$ (which coincides with $\mathrm{GA}_2(K)$ by Jung's Theorem). The result follows from defining relations for $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ given by U. U. Umirbaev.

1. Polynomial automorphism groups

For a commutative ring R , we write $R^{[n]}$ for the polynomial ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ in n variables over R . We will have numerous occasions in which we will refer to the subalgebra $R[X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n]$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, so we will use the shorter notation $R[X, \widehat{i}]$ to denote it.

The symbol $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ denotes the *general automorphism group*, by which we mean the automorphism group of $\mathrm{Spec} R^{[n]}$ over $\mathrm{Spec} R$. As such, it is anti-isomorphic to the group of R -algebra automorphisms of $R^{[n]}$. An element φ of $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ is represented by a vector $\varphi = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in (R^{[n]})^n$.

The general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ is contained in $\mathrm{GA}_n(R)$ in an obvious way. Another familiar subgroup is $\mathrm{EA}_n(R)$, the group generated by *elementary* automorphisms, i.e., those of the form $(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i + f, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $f \in R[X, \widehat{i}]$.

The subgroup of *tame automorphisms*, denoted $\mathrm{TA}_n(R)$, is the subgroup generated by $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ and $\mathrm{EA}_n(R)$. Another subgroup of interest is the *affine* group $\mathrm{Af}_n(R)$, which is the group generated by $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ together with the *translations*, i.e., those automorphisms of the form $(X_1 + a_1, \dots, X_n + a_n)$ with $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$.

For K a field, $\mathrm{GA}_n(K)$ is sometimes called the *affine Cremona group*. It sits naturally as a subgroup of the full Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_n(K)$, which is the group of birational automorphisms of affine (or projective) n -space. The Jung–Van der Kulk Theorem ([6], [7]) states that $\mathrm{TA}_2(K) = \mathrm{GA}_2(K)$. Shestakov and Umirbaev

([11]) showed that $\mathrm{TA}_3(K) \neq \mathrm{GA}_3(K)$ when K has characteristic zero. This paper deals with the structure of $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$, when $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, based of work on Umirbaev in [13].

2. Generalized amalgamated products of groups

We begin with the definition of a generalized amalgamation of groups. We refer the reader to [8] for a comprehensive discussion of combinatorial group theory.

Definition 1. Suppose we are given groups A_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$ we have groups $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$ with injective homomorphisms $\varphi_{ij} : B_{ij} \rightarrow A_i$ which are compatible, meaning if i, j, k are distinct then $\varphi_{ij}^{-1}(\varphi_{ik}(B_{ik})) = \varphi_{ji}^{-1}(\varphi_{jk}(B_{jk}))$ and on this group $\varphi_{ik}^{-1}\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_{jk}^{-1}\varphi_{ji}$. This gives set-theoretic gluing data by which we can compatibly glue A_i to A_j along B_{ij} via $\varphi_{ij}^{-1}\varphi_{ji}$ forming an amalgamated union S of the sets A_1, \dots, A_n . We then form the free group \mathcal{F} on S , denoting the group operation on \mathcal{F} by $*$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x, y \in A_i \subset S$, we let $r_{x,y} = x * y * (xy)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ (where xy is the product in A_i). Finally we let \mathcal{G} be the quotient of \mathcal{F} by all the relations $r_{x,y}$. The group \mathcal{G} is called the *generalized amalgamated product* of the groups² A_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ along the groups B_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. There are natural group homomorphisms $\iota_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $\iota_i\varphi_{ij} = \iota_j\varphi_{ji}$ on B_{ij} .

The group \mathcal{G} has the following universal property: Given a group H and maps $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow H$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\rho_i\varphi_{ij} = \rho_j\varphi_{ji}$ on B_{ij} for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then there is a unique map $\Phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H$ with $\rho_i = \Phi\iota_i$ for all i .

When there are only two subgroups A_1 and A_2 containing a common subgroup B , \mathcal{G} is the usual amalgamated free product. In this case the two groups inject into the amalgamated product and a very strong factorization theorem holds. Moreover, the Bass–Serre tree theory of groups acting on trees (see [10]) provides a tree on which \mathcal{G} acts without inversion, having a fundamental domain consisting of a single edge with its end vertices, the stabilizers of the vertices being A_1 and A_2 and the stabilizer of the edge the common subgroup B .

Such theorems do not hold in general for generalized amalgamations of three or more groups along pairwise intersections. The groups A_i may not map injectively into \mathcal{G} , and in fact \mathcal{G} may be the trivial group when none of the groups A_i are trivial, as the following example from [12] shows.

Example 1. For $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ let B_{ij} be the infinite cyclic group generated by b_k . Let

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \langle b_2, b_3 | b_2 b_3 b_2^{-1} = b_3^2 \rangle, \\ A_2 &= \langle b_3, b_1 | b_3 b_1 b_3^{-1} = b_1^2 \rangle, \\ A_3 &= \langle b_1, b_2 | b_1 b_2 b_1^{-1} = b_2^2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then B_{ij} is a common subgroup of A_i and A_j and we can form the generalized amalgamation \mathcal{G} of the groups A_i along the groups B_{ij} . It can be shown that in this case \mathcal{G} is the trivial group.

²We use the term *generalized amalgamated product* to distinguish it from the usual amalgamated product of two or more groups along a single common subgroup.

Whether such amalgamation data gives rise to the group acting on a simplicial complex is not easy to detect (see, for example, [12], [5], and [2]). It occurs precisely when each of the groups A_{ij} maps injectively to \mathcal{G} , and in this situation, the amalgamated union S maps injectively to \mathcal{G} as well. The n -simplex of groups arising from this data is called *developable* by Haefliger ([5]) in case of this occurrence.

However, if the groups A_i are subgroups of a given group G and if we take B_{ij} to be $A_i \cap A_j$ and φ_{ij} the inclusion map within G , then clearly there exists a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ restricting to the identity on each A_i , which shows that in this case the amalgamated union S maps injectively to \mathcal{G} . The map Φ will be surjective precisely when G is generated by the subgroups A_1, \dots, A_n . If Φ is an isomorphism, then the structure of \mathcal{G} arises from the action of G on an n -dimensional simply connected simplicial complex, with a single simplex serving as a fundamental domain.

Automorphism groups of various kinds can be realized as generalized amalgamations of groups. Some examples are given below.

Example 2. $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) *_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$ acts on the upper half plane. The generator of $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ can be taken to be $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. Here the translates of the circular arc $z = e^{i\theta}$ with $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ form a tree with this arc as a fundamental domain, and this is the tree given by the Bass–Serre theory.

Example 3 (Nagao’s Theorem [9]). For K a field we have the amalgamated product $\mathrm{GL}_2(K[X]) = \mathrm{GL}_2(K) *_{\mathrm{B}_2(K)} \mathrm{B}_2(K[X])$, with B_2 denoting the lower triangular group. (Here X represents a singly variable.) This structure can be realized via the Bass–Serre theory by the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(K[X])$ on a tree whose vertices are \mathcal{O} -lattices in the rank two vector space over $K(X)$, where \mathcal{O} is the DVR of $K(X)$ with uniformizing parameter $1/X$ (see [10]). Here the fundamental domain is not just a single edge, but an edge connected to a “directed geodesic.”

Example 4 (Jung–Van der Kulk Theorem [6],[7]). For K a field, the group $\mathrm{GA}_2(K)$ of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane has the amalgamated product structure $\mathrm{GA}_2(K) = \mathrm{Af}_2(K) *_{\mathrm{Bf}_2(K)} \mathrm{BA}_2(K)$. Here BA_2 is the group of automorphisms of the form $(\alpha X_1 + \gamma, \beta X_2 + f(X_1))$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K$, $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, $f(X_1) \in K[X_1]$, and $\mathrm{Bf}_2(K) = \mathrm{Af}_2(K) \cap \mathrm{BA}_2(K)$. Again, this structure arises from the action of $\mathrm{GA}_2(K)$ on a tree whose vertices are certain complete algebraic surfaces realized as collections of local rings (“models”) inside the function field $K(X_1, X_2)$ (see [14]).

Remark 1. One might wonder if $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ is the amalgamated product of $\mathrm{Af}_3(K)$ with the triangular group $\mathrm{BA}_3(K)$, the latter consisting of automorphisms of the form $(\alpha X_1 + \gamma, \beta X_2 + f(X_1), \kappa X_3 + g(X_1, X_2))$, $\alpha, \beta, \kappa, \gamma \in K$, $\alpha\beta\kappa \neq 0$, $f(X_1) \in K[X_1]$, $g(X_1, X_2) \in K[X_1, X_2]$. This is known not to be the case.

Example 5. The full Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(K)$ over an algebraically closed field K is the generalized amalgamation of three groups: the automorphism group of \mathbb{P}_K^2 (which is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$), the automorphism group of $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \times \mathbb{P}_K^1$, and thirdly the K -automorphism group of \mathbb{P}_L^1 where $L = K(t)$, with t transcendental over K .

There is a naturally realizable simplicial complex of triangles \mathcal{C} on which $\mathrm{Cr}_2(K)$ acts which yields this structure and also contains the tree of Example 4 with the action of $\mathrm{GA}_2(K)$ being the restriction of the action of $\mathrm{Cr}_2(K)$ on \mathcal{C} . See [14] for details.

Remark 2. It has been shown by Stéphane Lamy (unpublished) that the complex \mathcal{C} in Example 5 is not 2-connected.

3. Polynomial automorphisms in dimension three

A major breakthrough came in 2004 when Shestakov and Umirbaev showed that the automorphism group $\mathrm{GA}_3(K)$ properly contains the tame subgroup $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ when K is a field of characteristic zero ([11]). Specifically, they showed that the automorphism

$$(X_1 + X_3(X_2X_3 + X_1^2), X_2 - 2X_1(X_2X_3 + X_1^2) - X_1(X_2X_3 + X_1^2)^2, X_3)$$

is not tame, resolving a conjecture of Nagata from 1972. The group $\mathrm{GA}_3(K)$ remains a mystery, as no describable set of generators has been given.

However, the tame subgroup $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ is (by definition) generated by the elementary and linear automorphisms, which are familiar. Moreover, a set of generating relations has been given by Umirbaev in [13]. This paper will show that a generalized amalgamated product structure for $\mathrm{TA}_3(K)$ results from Umirbaev's relations. We begin by presenting those results.

For $\varphi = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \mathrm{GA}_n(K)$ and $f \in K^{[n]}$ we write $f(\varphi)$ for $f(F_1, \dots, F_n)$. This defines an action (on the right) of $\mathrm{GA}_n(K)$ on $K^{[n]}$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha \in K$, and $f \in K[X, \widehat{i}]$, consider the automorphism

$$\sigma_{i,\alpha,f} = (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, \alpha X_i + f, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n), \quad (1)$$

which is easily seen to be tame. (Note that, although $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ depends on n , the n is suppressed in order to simplify notation, and will be understood by context.) Given $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \neq \ell$, we define a tame automorphism $\tau_{k,\ell}$ by

$$\tau_{k,\ell} = \sigma_{\ell,1,X_k} \sigma_{k,1,-X_\ell} \sigma_{\ell,-1,X_k} \quad (2)$$

A simple calculation shows that $\tau_{k,\ell}$ is the transposition switching the X_k and X_ℓ coordinates.

One can check directly that

$$\sigma_{i,\alpha,f} \sigma_{i,\beta,g} = \sigma_{i,\alpha\beta,f+\alpha g}. \quad (3)$$

Also, if $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, and if $f \in K[X, \widehat{i}] \cap K[X, \widehat{j}]$, $g \in K[X, \widehat{j}]$, then

$$\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}^{-1} \sigma_{j,\beta,g} \sigma_{i,\alpha,f} = \sigma_{j,\beta,g(\sigma_{i,\alpha,f})}. \quad (4)$$

It follows that if $g \in K[X, \widehat{i}] \cap K[X, \widehat{j}]$ then $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ and $\sigma_{i,\beta,g}$ commute.

Let $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $k \neq \ell$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let j be the image of i under the permutation which switches k and ℓ ; in other words, the element of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $X_j = X_i(\tau_{k,\ell})$. Then we have

$$\tau_{k,\ell} \sigma_{i,\alpha,f} \tau_{k,\ell} = \sigma_{j,\alpha,f(\tau_{k,\ell})}. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 4.1 of [13] asserts the following.

Theorem 1 (Umirbaev). *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. The relations (3), (4), and (5) are defining relations for $TA_3(K)$ with respect to the generators $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ defined in (1). Here $\tau_{k,\ell}$ in (5) is defined formally in terms of these generators by (2).*

This will be the key tool in the proof of Theorem 2, which is the main result of this paper.

4. Subgroups of interest

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let V_i be the sub-vector space of $K^{[n]}$ generated by K and the variables X_1, \dots, X_i , i.e.,

$$V_i = K \oplus KX_1 \oplus \dots \oplus KX_i. \quad (6)$$

Then H_i is defined to be the stabilizer of V_i in $GA_n(K)$ via the action defined in Section 3, i.e.,

$$H_i = \{\varphi \in GA_n(K) \mid \varphi(V_i) = V_i\}. \quad (7)$$

Note that H_n is the affine group $Af_n(K)$. More generally, the subgroup of H_i that fixes each of the variables X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n can be identified with $Af_i(K)$. In fact, H_i retracts onto $Af_i(K)$ via the map $\varphi = (F_1, \dots, F_n) \mapsto (F_1, \dots, F_i)$, and the kernel of this retraction is the subgroup of H_i consisting of the elements that fix each of the variables X_1, \dots, X_i , which is $GA_{n-i}(K[X_1, \dots, X_i])$. Thus H_i has the semidirect product structure

$$H_i = Af_i(K) \ltimes GA_{n-i}(K[X_1, \dots, X_i]) \quad (8)$$

(where, for $i = n$, we read this as $H_n = Af_n(K)$). These subgroups are defined in [3, p. 23], where it is conjectured that together they generate $GA_n(K)$ (Conjecture 14.1) and that (whether or not that conjecture is true) the subgroup generated by H_1, \dots, H_n is the generalized amalgamated product of these groups along pairwise intersections (Conjecture 14.2). It should be noted that Freudenburg produced an example (see [4, p. 171]) of an automorphism in $GA_3(K)$ which has not been shown to lie in this subgroup.³

Furthermore, the groups \tilde{H}_i are defined by

$$\tilde{H}_i = H_i \cap TA_n(K), \quad (9)$$

which are easily seen to generate $TA_n(K)$. We can surmise from (8) that

$$\tilde{H}_i \supseteq Af_i(K) \ltimes TA_{n-i}(K[X_1, \dots, X_i]). \quad (10)$$

For $i = n$ equality holds trivially and we have $\tilde{H}_n = H_n$, both being equal to $Af_n(K)$. For $i = n - 1$ it is also easily seen that equality holds in (10) and

³This example is also of interest because it has not been shown to be *stably tame*. (See [1] for the definition of this concept.)

moreover we have $\tilde{H}_{n-1} = H_{n-1}$ since TA_1 and GA_1 coincide over an integral domain (even a reduced ring).

There is one other case where the containment of (10) is known to be an equality. Namely, for $n = 3$ and K of characteristic zero we have

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \text{Af}_1(K) \ltimes \text{TA}_2(K[X_1]). \quad (11)$$

This follows from [11, Cor. 10], a very deep result asserting that in $\text{GA}_3(K)$ we have

$$\text{GA}_2(K[X_1]) \cap \text{TA}_3(K) = \text{TA}_2(K[X_1]).$$

This together with the known proper containment $\text{TA}_2(K[X_1]) \subsetneq \text{GA}_2(K[X_1])$ tells us that $\tilde{H}_1 \subsetneq H_1$ for $n = 3$. It is not known whether $\tilde{H}_1 \subsetneq H_1$ when $n > 3$.

It is conjectured that $\text{TA}_n(K)$ is the generalized amalgamated product of the subgroups $\tilde{H}_1, \dots, \tilde{H}_n$ along pairwise intersections ([3, Conj. 14.3]). The main result of this paper is that this conjecture is true for $n = 3$ and K a field of characteristic zero. In light of the above observations, for $n = 3$ we have $\tilde{H}_2 = H_2$ and $\tilde{H}_3 = H_3$ (but *not* $\tilde{H}_1 = H_1$), so this can be stated as:

Theorem 2. *For K a field of characteristic zero, $\text{TA}_3(K)$ is the generalized amalgamated product of the three groups \tilde{H}_1, H_2, H_3 along their pairwise intersections.*

This will be proved in the next section.

5. Proof of Theorem 2

The main tool in the proof is Theorem 1, which asserts that $\text{TA}_3(K)$ is generated by the elements $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ as defined in (1) subject to the relations (3), (4), and (5).

Let \mathcal{F} be the free group generated by the formal symbols $[\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}]$, with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $f \in K[X, \hat{i}]$, $\alpha \in K$. Accordingly, we rewrite the relations (3), (4), and (5) replacing each σ by its corresponding formal symbol $[\sigma]$:

$$[\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}][\sigma_{i,\beta,g}] = [\sigma_{i,\alpha\beta,f+\alpha g}], \quad (\text{R1})$$

$$[\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}]^{-1}[\sigma_{j,\beta,g}][\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}] = [\sigma_{j,\beta,g(\sigma_{i,\alpha,f})}], \quad (\text{R2})$$

$$[\tau_{k,\ell}][\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}][\tau_{k,\ell}] = [\sigma_{j,\alpha,f(\tau_{k,\ell})}], \quad (\text{R3})$$

where, in (R2), $i \neq j$, $f \in K[X, \hat{i}] \cap K[X, \hat{j}]$, $g \in K[X, \hat{j}]$, and, in (R3), $k \neq \ell$, j is the image of i under the permutation which switches k and ℓ , and

$$[\tau_{k,\ell}] = [\sigma_{\ell,1,X_k}][\sigma_{k,1,-X_\ell}][\sigma_{\ell,-1,X_k}] \quad (12)$$

(after (2)). Let \mathcal{N} be the normal subgroup of \mathcal{F} generated by (R1), (R2), and (R3).

Theorem 1 says that the homomorphism from \mathcal{F} to $\text{TA}_3(K)$ sending $[\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}]$ to $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{TA}_3(K). \quad (13)$$

Let \mathfrak{G} be the generalized amalgamated product of \tilde{H}_1, H_2, H_3 along their pairwise intersections. The inclusions of \tilde{H}_1, H_2, H_3 in $\text{TA}_3(K)$ induce a group homomorphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{TA}_3(K)$ which is surjective since the three subgroups generate $\text{TA}_3(K)$ (in fact any two of them generate). We will define a group homomorphism from $\text{TA}_3(K)$ to \mathfrak{G} using the isomorphism (13) and show that it is inverse to Φ , thus proving the theorem.

We first define a homomorphism $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, which is accomplished by specifying the images of the free generators $[\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}]$. We will then show that \mathcal{N} lies in the kernel of $\hat{\Psi}$, thus inducing a map $\Psi : \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{N} = \text{TA}_3(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ (identifying \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{N} and $\text{TA}_3(K)$ via (13)), which will be shown to be the inverse of Φ .

According to the discussion in Section 2, \mathfrak{G} contains the amalgamated union of \tilde{H}_1, H_2, H_3 , as does $T\text{A}_3(K)$, with Φ restricting to the identity map on this set. Let us denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}_3$ the isomorphic copies of \tilde{H}_1, H_2, H_3 , respectively, that lie inside \mathfrak{G} . It is important to keep in mind that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \cup \mathfrak{H}_2 \cup \mathfrak{H}_3$ maps bijectively to $\tilde{H}_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$ via Φ .

Note that if $i = 2$ or $i = 3$ then $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ lies in \tilde{H}_1 and if $\deg f \leq 1$ then $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ lies in H_3 , so in each of these cases $\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}$ can be viewed as an element of the union $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \cup \mathfrak{H}_2 \cup \mathfrak{H}_3 \subset \mathfrak{G}$. To avoid confusion, we will denote these elements of \mathfrak{G} by $\mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f}$. Thus it makes sense to make the assignments

$$\hat{\Psi}([\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}]) = \mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f}]) = \mathfrak{s}_{1,\alpha,f} \in \mathfrak{H}_3 \quad \text{for } \deg f \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

Since the factors of (12) involve only polynomials of degree ≤ 1 , $\hat{\Psi}([\tau_{k,\ell}])$ is defined by applying $\hat{\Psi}$ to those factors using (14) and (15) above. We will denote the resulting element of \mathfrak{G} by $\mathfrak{t}_{k,\ell}$. Thus:

$$\hat{\Psi}([\tau_{k,\ell}]) = \mathfrak{t}_{k,\ell} = \mathfrak{s}_{\ell,1,X_k} \mathfrak{s}_{k,1,-X_\ell} \mathfrak{s}_{\ell,-1,X_k}, \quad (16)$$

and this is just the permutation in $\mathfrak{H}_3 \cong \text{Af}_3(K)$ that switches X_k and X_ℓ . It remains to define $\hat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f}])$ for arbitrary $f \in K[X_2, X_3]$. This we do as follows:

$$\hat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f}]) = \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}. \quad (17)$$

The reader will easily verify that this assignment coincides with (15) in the case $\deg f \leq 1$, since both occur in \mathfrak{H}_3 .

Thus we have defined $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, and we must now show that the subgroup \mathcal{N} lies in the kernel of $\hat{\Psi}$, i.e., that equations (R1), (R2), and (R3) hold, replacing σ by \mathfrak{s} and τ by \mathfrak{t} . This gets a bit tedious because of the asymmetry in the definitions of $\hat{\Psi}([\sigma_{i,\alpha,f}])$ depending on i .

We begin with (R1). Note that if $i = 2$ or $i = 3$, then according to (14), this amounts to showing that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f} \mathfrak{s}_{i,\beta,g} = \mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha\beta,f+\alpha g} \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3. \quad (18)$$

But this is a relation that takes place in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$, so it holds in \mathfrak{G} . For $i = 1$ we must use (17). For $f, g \in K[X_2, X_3]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g}]) &= (\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3})(\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}) \\ &= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \\ &\quad (\text{since } \mathbf{t}_{1,3}^2 = 1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}_3) \\ &= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha\beta,f+\alpha g}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (18)} \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha\beta,f+\alpha g}]) \quad \text{by (17)}\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof that the relation (R1) is respected by $\widehat{\Psi}$.

We now address (R2). Recall that $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i \neq j$. If $\{i, j\} = \{2, 3\}$ we must show, again appealing to (14), that

$$\mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f}^{-1}\mathfrak{s}_{j,\beta,g}\mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f} = \mathfrak{s}_{j\beta,g(\sigma_{i,\alpha,f})} \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3. \quad (19)$$

But, again, this is a relation that holds in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$, hence in \mathfrak{G} .

We now consider the case $i = 1, j = 3$. We will use the following basic permutation relation, which holds in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3 \subset \mathfrak{H}_3$ (hence it holds in \mathfrak{G}) for $\{k, \ell, m\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$\mathbf{t}_{k,\ell} = \mathbf{t}_{k,m}\mathbf{t}_{m,\ell}\mathbf{t}_{k,m}. \quad (20)$$

In the equations below the underbrace indicates what will be replaced in the next line; the overbrace in the next line marks the equivalent expression that has been substituted.

For $f \in K[X_2]$ and $g \in K[X_1, X_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2)}]^{-1}[\sigma_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}][\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2)}]) \\ = \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2)}])^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2)}]) \\ = (\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}})(\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)})(\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}) \text{ by (14), (17)}\end{aligned}$$

Applying (20) to $\mathbf{t}_{1,3}$:

$$= \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}_{\text{overbrace}}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}$ from \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\text{overbrace}}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}$ from \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}_{\text{overbrace}}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}$ from $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$:

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}$$

Applying (19):

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\alpha X_3+f(X_1),X_1)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\alpha X_3+f(X_1),X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_2+f(X_1),X_1)}$ from $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_2+f(X_1),X_1)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}} \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_1+f(X_2),X_2)} \quad \text{from } \mathfrak{H}_2 \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_1+f(X_2),X_2)}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\beta,g(\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2)})}]), \end{aligned}$$

which accomplishes our goal.

Now let $i = 3$, $j = 1$. For $f \in K[X_2]$ and $g \in K[X_2, X_3]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}]^{-1}[\sigma_{1,\beta,g(X_2,X_3)}][\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}])^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g(X_2,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}]) \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)} \quad \text{by (14) and (17)} \\ &= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{since } \mathbf{t}_{1,3}^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (20):

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)} \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}$ in \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$:

$$= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}$$

Using the relation $\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}$ in \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}$$

Applying (19):

$$= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,\alpha X_2+f(X_1))}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,\alpha X_2+f(X_1))}}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}$$

Using the relation $\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,\alpha X_2+f(X_1))}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,\alpha X_1+f(X_2))}$ in \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,\alpha X_1+f(X_2))}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g(X_2,\alpha X_1+f(X_2))}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g(\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2)})}]) \quad \text{by (17),} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The two cases $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$ will employ the equality

$$\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_1,X_3)}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}, \quad (21)$$

which arises by conjugating the \mathfrak{H}_2 identity $\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)}$ by $\mathfrak{t}_{2,3}$, evoking the \mathfrak{H}_1 identity $\mathfrak{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_1,X_2)}\mathfrak{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_1,X_3)}$ and the \mathfrak{H}_3 identity $\mathfrak{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}$.

For $i = 1, j = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)}]^{-1}[\sigma_{2,\beta,g(X_1,X_3)}][\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)}])^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\beta,g(X_1,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)}]) \\ &= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_1,X_3)}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (17)} \\ &= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(X_3,X_1)}}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (21)} \\ &= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\alpha X_3+f(X_1),X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\alpha X_3+f(X_1),X_1)}}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (19)} \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\alpha X_1+f(X_3),X_3)} \quad \text{by (21)} \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_{2,\beta,g(\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)})} \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\beta,g(\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3)})}]). \end{aligned}$$

The case $i = 2, j = 1$ follows similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}]^{-1}[\sigma_{1,\beta,g(X_2,X_3)}][\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}])^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g(X_2,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}^{-1} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)} \quad \text{by (17)} \\
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}^{-1} \underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{since } \mathfrak{t}_{1,3}^2 = 1 \\
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}^{-1} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(X_2,X_1)} \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (21)} \\
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_2+f(X_1),X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\beta,g(\alpha X_2+f(X_1),X_1)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (19)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\beta,g(\alpha X_2+f(X_3),X_3)}]) \quad \text{by (17),}
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof that the relation (R2) is respected by $\widehat{\Psi}$.

Lastly we come to (R3). Here, recall that $k \neq \ell$ and j is the image of i under the permutation that switches k and ℓ . If $\{k, \ell, i\} = \{2, 3\}$ then we also have $j \in \{2, 3\}$ and we must show that $\mathfrak{t}_{k,\ell} \mathfrak{s}_{i,\alpha,f} \mathfrak{t}_{k,\ell} = \mathfrak{s}_{j,\alpha,f(\tau_{k,\ell})}$. But this relation holds in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$. Also, if $i = 3$ and $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 2\}$, then $j = 3$ and the relation holds in \mathfrak{H}_2 . Thus for $i = 3$ the only remaining case is $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 3\}$, which follows quickly from (17). To wit:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}][\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}][\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}]) \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}]) \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (14) and (16)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3,X_2)}]) \quad \text{by (17)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(\tau_{1,3})}]).
\end{aligned}$$

For $i = 2$ the remaining cases are $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 2\}$ and $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 3\}$. For the first:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}][\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}][\tau_{1,2}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}]) \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}]) \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}]) \\
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \quad \text{by (14) and (16)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)} = \mathfrak{t}_{2,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)} \mathfrak{t}_{2,3}$ in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{t}_{2,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}}_{\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{t}_{2,3} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}} \mathfrak{t}_{2,3} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \\
&= \underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}}_{\mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{using (20)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $\mathfrak{t}_{1,2} \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)} \mathfrak{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}$ in \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \underbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}}_{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}]) \quad \text{by (17)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(\tau_{1,2})}]).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}][\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}][\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (14) and (16)} \\
&= \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3,X_1)} \quad \text{by (21)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_3,X_1)}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(\tau_{1,3})}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have verified all the cases when $i = 2$ or $i = 3$.

Finally we consider $i = 1$. If $\{k, \ell\} = \{2, 3\}$, (R3) is a consequence of (17):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{2,3}][\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}][\tau_{2,3}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{2,3}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{2,3}]) \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{2,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{2,3}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}} \quad \text{by (16) and (17)} \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}} \quad \text{using (20)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,2} = \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}$ in \mathfrak{H}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\overbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}}^{\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_1,X_2)}}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_3,X_2)}]) \quad \text{by (17)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(\tau_{2,3})}]).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 3\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}][\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}][\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,3}]) \\
&= \mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,3} \quad \text{by (16) and (17)} \\
&= \mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)} \quad \text{since } \mathbf{t}_{1,3}^2 = 1 \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}]) \quad \text{by (17)} \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{3,\alpha,f(\tau_{1,3})}]).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\{k, \ell\} = \{1, 2\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}][\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}][\tau_{1,2}]) \\
&= \widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}])\widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{1,\alpha,f(X_2,X_3)}])\widehat{\Psi}([\tau_{1,2}]) \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,2}\mathbf{t}_{1,3}}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\underbrace{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}}_{\mathbf{t}_{1,3}\mathbf{t}_{1,2}} \quad \text{by (16) and (17)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}\mathfrak{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}}_{\text{using (20)}} \mathfrak{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{t}_{1,3}$$

Using the relation $\mathfrak{t}_{2,3}\mathfrak{s}_{3,\alpha,f(X_2,X_1)}\mathfrak{t}_{2,3} = \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3,X_1)}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \overbrace{\mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_3,X_1)}} \mathfrak{t}_{1,3} \\ &= \mathfrak{s}_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)} \quad \text{by (21)} \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(X_1,X_3)}]) \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}([\sigma_{2,\alpha,f(\tau_{1,2})}]). \end{aligned}$$

We have now shown that \mathcal{N} lies in the kernel of $\widehat{\Psi}$, and therefore there is an induced map $\Psi : \text{TA}_3(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ identifying via the isomorphism of (13), which we will now show is inverse to the map $\Phi : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \text{TA}_3(K)$. This follows from the following easy fact: Each of the groups \tilde{H}_1, H_2 , and H_3 are generated by the elements $\sigma_{i,a,f}$ that lie within it. For H_2 and H_3 this is straightforward, and for \tilde{H}_1 it follows from (11). Therefore the elements $\sigma_{i,a,f}$ that lie in $\tilde{H}_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$ generate $\text{TA}_3(K)$, and the corresponding elements $\mathfrak{s}_{i,a,f}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \cup \mathfrak{H}_2 \cup \mathfrak{H}_3$ generate \mathfrak{H} as well. These are the elements for which $i \in \{2, 3\}$, or $i = 1$ and $\deg f \leq 1$. The assignments (14) and (15) show that $\Psi(\sigma_{i,a,f}) = \mathfrak{s}_{i,a,f}$ in these cases, and it is clear that $\Phi(\mathfrak{s}_{i,a,f}) = \sigma_{i,a,f}$ by the definition of Φ . This shows that Ψ and Φ are inverses, completing the proof of Theorem 2.

6. Concluding remarks and questions

The combinatoric upshot of Theorem 2 is that $\text{TA}_3(K)$ is the colimit of a “triangle of groups” in Stallings’ sense (see [12]), comprising \tilde{H}_1, H_2 , and H_3 , their pairwise intersections, and the intersection of all three. These groups form the stabilizers of the three vertices, three edges, and face, respectively, of a simplex \mathfrak{f} in a 2-dimensional simply connected simplicial complex \mathcal{D} on which $\text{TA}_3(K)$ acts, and for which \mathfrak{f} serves as a fundamental domain. There are unanswered questions about \mathcal{D} . For example, is it 2-connected (i.e., is $\pi_2(\mathcal{D}) = 0$), and does it have infinite diameter (i.e., does the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{D} have infinite diameter as a graph)?

The 3-dimensional simplicial complex \mathcal{D} can be realized as follows: More generally we construct an n -dimensional simplicial complex \mathcal{E}_n whose vertices are rank $(i + 1)$ vector spaces V in $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ containing K , where $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $K[X_1, \dots, X_n] = K[V]^{[n-i]}$. (Here $K[V]$ is the subalgebra generated by V .) Vertices V_1, \dots, V_r of strictly ascending rank form an r -simplex if $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_r$. There is an obvious action of $\text{GA}_n(K)$ on \mathcal{E}_n . Note that \mathcal{E}_n contains the n -simplex Σ_n determined by the n vertices V_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, defined by (6) in Section 4. This is a fundamental domain for the action, and the subgroup H_i is the stabilizer of V_i , by its definition (7). For $n = 2$ this is the tree which gives the structure Theorem for $\text{GA}_2(K)$ (Example 4).

For $n \geq 3$ we do not know if \mathcal{E}_n is connected, or simply connected. The connectivity of \mathcal{E}_n is equivalent to the generation of $\text{GA}_n(K)$ by the subgroups H_1, \dots, H_n , an unsolved question.

By restriction, $\mathrm{TA}_n(K)$ also acts on \mathcal{E}_n . The stabilizer of V_i in $\mathrm{TA}_n(K)$ is \tilde{H}_i , by (9). Let \mathcal{D}_n be the subcomplex consisting of the $\mathrm{TA}_n(K)$ -translates of the simplex Σ_n . (For $n = 3$, this is the complex \mathcal{D} mentioned above.) The fact that $\mathrm{TA}_n(K)$ is generated by the stabilizers \tilde{H}_i implies \mathcal{D}_n is connected. Simple connectivity of \mathcal{D}_n is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathrm{TA}_n(K)$ is the generalized amalgamated product of $\tilde{H}, \dots, \tilde{H}_n$ along pairwise intersections. For $n = 3$ this is true by the main result of this paper; for $n \geq 4$ it is unknown.

References

- [1] J. Berson, A. van den Essen, D. Wright, *Stable tameness of two-dimensional polynomial automorphisms over a regular ring*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), no. 4–6, 2176–2197.
- [2] J. M. Corson, *Complexes of groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1992), no. 1, 199–224.
- [3] G. Freudenburg, P. Russell, *Open problems in affine algebraic geometry*, in: *Affine Algebraic Geometry*, Contemp. Math., Vol. 369, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 1–30.
- [4] G. Freudenburg, *Actions of \mathbf{G}_a on \mathbf{A}^3 defined by homogeneous derivations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **126** (1998), no. 1–3, 169–181.
- [5] A. Haefliger, *Complexes of groups and orbihedra*, in: *Group Theory from a Geometrical Viewpoint* (Trieste, 1990), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp. 504–540.
- [6] H. W. E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161–174.
- [7] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (3) **1** (1953), 33–41.
- [8] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory. Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations*, 2nd ed., Dover, Mineola, NY, 2004.
- [9] H. Nagao, *On $\mathrm{GL}(2, K[x])$* , J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A **10** (1959), 117–121.
- [10] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [11] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 1, 197–227.
- [12] J. R. Stallings, *Non-positively curved triangles of groups*, in: *Group Theory from a Geometrical Viewpoint* (Trieste, 1990), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp. 491–503.
- [13] У. У. Умирбаев, *Определяющие соотношения группы ручных автоморфизмов колец многочленов и дикie автоморфизмы свободных ассоциативных алгебр*, Докл. Акад. Наук **407** (2006), no. 3, 319–324. [U. U. Umirbaev, *Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial rings, and wild automorphisms of free associative algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **407** (2006), no. 3, 319–324 (Russian).]
- [14] D. Wright, *Two-dimensional Cremona groups acting on simplicial complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **331** (1992), no. 1, 281–300.